

SCHUR PARTITION THEOREMS VIA PERFECT CRYSTAL

SHUNSUKE TSUCHIOKA AND MASAKI WATANABE

ABSTRACT. We propose a generalization of Schur regular partitions for each odd integer $p \geq 3$. Applying Kashiwara crystal theory, we prove that the number of partitions of n with this condition is equinumerous to the number of strict p -class regular partitions of n . At $p = 3$, it is Schur's 1926 partition theorem found as a mod 6 analog of the Rogers-Ramanujan partition theorem (RRPT). The statement for $p = 5$ was conjectured by Andrews in 1970s in a course of his 3 parameter generalization of RRPT and proved in 1994 by Andrews-Bessenrodt-Olsson with an aid of computer.

1. INTRODUCTION

The set of partitions is denoted by Par . For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \text{Par}$, we define $|\lambda| = \sum_{j=1}^\ell \lambda_j$, $m_i(\lambda) = |\{j \mid \lambda_j = i\}|$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell(\lambda) = \ell$. We set $\text{Par}(n) = \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid |\lambda| = n\}$.

Definition 1.1. For an odd integer $p \geq 3$, we denote by D_p the set of strict p -class regular partitions, i.e., $D_p = \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid \forall i \geq 1, m_{pi}(\lambda) = 0 \text{ and } \forall j \geq 1, m_j(\lambda) \leq 1\}$.

1.1. The main result. Throughout the paper, we abbreviate Rogers-Ramanujan (resp. partition theorem) with RR (resp. PT). The goal is to prove Theorem 1.2. This PT is related with RR identities and spin modular representation theory of symmetric groups as we will see in §1.2 and in §1.3, §1.4 respectively.

Theorem 1.2. Let $p = 2h + 1 \geq 3$ be an odd integer. For each $n \geq 0$, we have $|\text{Par}(n) \cap D_p| = |\text{Par}(n) \cap S_p|$ where S_p consists of $\lambda \in \text{Par}$ such that

- (S1) $m_i(\lambda) > 1$ implies $i \in p\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ (i.e., p -strict),
- (S2) $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+h} \geq p$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda) - h$ and $\geq$ is $>$ when $\lambda_i \in p\mathbb{Z}$,
- (S3) $_{k,d}$ there is no i such that $\lambda_i = pk + d, \lambda_{i+d} = pk - d$,
- (S4) $_{k',d}$ there is no i such that $\lambda_i = pk' + h + d, \lambda_{i+d} = pk' + h + 1 - d$,
- (S5) $_{k,d}$ there is no i such that $\lambda_i = pk + p + d, \lambda_{i+(h+d)} = pk - d$

for all $1 \leq d < h$ and $k \geq 1, k' \geq 0$ (see also Definition 2.1).

The statement for $p = 3, 5$ are known and located in distinguished place in the theory of partitions [An2, §6] [An4] (see §1.2 and §1.3). While there is an expectation for $p = 7$ (see §1.3), the statement for $p \geq 7$ is new. For other description of the subpattern avoidance conditions (S3), (S4), (S5), see Remark 2.4. An explicit description for $p = 7$ is displayed in Example 2.3.

Date: Aug 1, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11P84, Secondary 05E10.

Key words and phrases. Rogers-Ramanujan identities, Schur partition theorem, Kashiwara crystal theory, theory of partitions, quantum groups, symmetric groups, modular representation theory, spin representations, modular branching rule, perfect crystals, Kyoto path realization, affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras, Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals, Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux.

1.2. Andrews' 3 parameter generalization of RRPT. We freely use the notation and conventions in §1.7. In the first letter to Hardy, Ramanujan wrote a colorful formula now known as RR continuous fractions:

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{e^{-2\pi}}{1 + \frac{e^{-4\pi}}{\ddots}}}} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2} \right) e^{2\pi/5}.$$

A proof can be reduced to showing the RR identities [Rog]: for $\sigma = 0, 1$,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n(n+\sigma)}}{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^k)} = \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - q^{5n+(\sigma+1)})^{-1} (1 - q^{5n+(4-\sigma)})^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

Interpreting (1) in terms of partitions, RR identities are equivalent to RRPT.

Definition 1.3 ([An3, Definition 3]). *We say that subsets $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \subseteq \text{Par}$ are partition theoretically equivalent (written as $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \mathcal{D}$) when $p_n(\mathcal{C}) = p_n(\mathcal{D})$ for all $n \geq 0$. Here we set $\mathcal{C}(n) := \mathcal{C} \cap \text{Par}(n)$ and $p_n(\mathcal{C}) := |\mathcal{C}(n)|$ (the $\mathcal{C}$ -partition function).*

Theorem 1.4 (RRPT). $R \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{1,5}$ and $R' \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{2,5}$ where

$$R = \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid \forall i \geq 1, m_i(\lambda) + m_{i+1}(\lambda) \leq 2 (\Leftrightarrow 1 \leq \forall j < \ell(\lambda), \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} \geq 2)\}$$

$$R' = \{\lambda \in R \mid m_1(\lambda) = 0 (\Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} \geq 2 \text{ if } \lambda \neq \emptyset)\}$$

$$T_{a,b} = \{\lambda \in R \mid m_i(\lambda) > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv \pm a \pmod{b}\}$$

For a history of RR fractions/identities/PT, we refer [Har], [An1, §7], [An2, §1].

A classical method summarized as step 1,2,3 in [An3, pp.1037] to prove RR type PT $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \mathcal{D}$ is an analysis of the 2 parameter generating functions for $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{Par}$

$$f_{\mathcal{E}}(x, q) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}} x^{\ell(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|}. \quad (2)$$

A typical situation is when $f_{\mathcal{D}}(1, q)$ is easily written down (e.g. when $\mathcal{D}$ is a partition ideal of order 1 in the sense [An3, §2]) and $\mathcal{C}$ is linked in the sense [An3, §4]. Applying the method, Andrews established a 3 parameter RRPT. The parameter $\ell = 0, k = 2, a = 2$ (resp. $a = 1$) duplicates $R \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{1,5}$ (resp. $R' \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{2,5}$).

Theorem 1.5 ([An4]). *For $\ell, k, a \geq 0$ with $0 \leq \ell/2 < a \leq k \leq \ell$, we have $A_{\ell,k,a} \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} B_{\ell,k,a}$ where $B_{\ell,k,a} = \{\lambda \in \text{Par}_{(\ell+1)\text{-str}} \mid (B1), (B2)\}$ and*

$$A_{\ell,k,a} = \begin{cases} \{\lambda \in \text{Par}_{(\ell+1)\text{-str}} \mid (A1)\} & \text{if } \ell \text{ is even} \\ \{\lambda \in \text{Par}_{(\ell+1)/2\text{-str}} \mid (A1), (A2)\} & \text{if } \ell \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(A1) $m_i(\lambda) = 0$ for $i \equiv 0, \pm(2a - \ell)(\ell + 1)/2 \pmod{(2k - \ell + 1)(\ell + 1)}$,

(A2) $m_i(\lambda) = 0$ for $i \equiv \ell + 1 \pmod{2(\ell + 1)}$,

(B1) $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+k-1} \geq \ell + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda) - (k - 1)$ and $\geq$ is $>$ when $\lambda_i \in (\ell + 1)\mathbb{Z}$,

(B2) $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} m_i(\lambda) \leq a - 1$ and $\sum_{i=j}^{\ell-j+1} m_i(\lambda) \leq a - j$ for $1 \leq j \leq (\ell + 1)/2$.

Andrews observed that even if the condition $0 \leq \ell/2 < a \leq k \leq \ell$ is violated, we still have a chance to have a PT $A_{\ell,k,a} \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} B_{\ell,k,a}^\circ$ by defining a suitable $B_{\ell,k,a}^\circ \subseteq B_{\ell,k,a}$. As an example, see [An4, pp.84] for $\ell = 3, k = a = 2$.

Theorem 1.6 ([An4, Conjecture 2]=[ABO, Theorem 3.1]). $(A_{4,3,3} =) D_5 \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} B_{4,3,3}^\circ$ where $B_{4,3,3}^\circ := \{\lambda \in \text{Par}_{5\text{-str}} \mid (C1), (C2)_j, (C3)_j, (C4)_j \text{ for all } j \geq 0\}$.

- (C1) $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+2} \geq 5$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda) - 2$ and $\geq$ is $>$ when $\lambda_i \in p\mathbb{Z}$,
- (C2) $_j$ $m_{5j+3}(\lambda) + m_{5j+2}(\lambda) \leq 1$,
- (C3) $_j$ $m_{5j+6}(\lambda) + m_{5j+4}(\lambda) \leq 1$,
- (C4) $_j$ $m_{5j+11}(\lambda) + m_{5j+10}(\lambda) + m_{5j+5}(\lambda) + m_{5j+4}(\lambda) \leq 3$.

Note that $B_{4,3,3} = \{\lambda \in \text{Par}_{5\text{-str}} \mid (C1), (C2)_0\}$. Theorem 1.6 was proposed as a conjecture in the last section of [An4] with a concluding phrase “the methods of proof should have interesting ramifications in the theory of partition identities”.

Nearly 20 years later, Andrews-Bessenrodt-Olsson established Theorem 1.6 using a truncated approximation of (2) (we name it finitization). The recipe is powerful (e.g. an application to Göllnitz PT, see [An2, §10.6]) because we have a freedom of choice of approximation polynomials for a single instance (2). But it has a disadvantage in that a heavy usage of computer is inevitable (see formulas in [ABO, §3]) and does not produce satisfactory understanding why the PT applied holds.

Theorem 1.6 is equivalent to Theorem 1.2 at $p = 5$. Thus, Theorem 1.2 gives

- a conceptual and computer-free proof for Theorem 1.6,
- a definition of $B_{p-1, h+1, h+1}^\circ := S_p$ (see Proposition 2.11) for $p = 2h+1 \geq 3$.

Note that since PT $D_p (= A_{p-1, h+1, h+1}) \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} S_p (= B_{p-1, h+1, h+1}^\circ)$ has a parameter p , finitization does not work to prove Theorem 1.2.

1.3. Schur PT and p -modular representations of the Schur cover $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n$. Before explaining our proof, we shall see an incarnation of Theorem 1.2 at $p = 3, 5$ other than RRPT. In 1926, Schur has found a PT that is duplicated as Theorem 1.5 at $\ell = k = a = 2$. For a proof by bijection, see [Bre, Be1].

Theorem 1.7 ([Sc1, Satz V]). $D_3 \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \text{Schur}_3$ where

$$\text{Schur}_3 = \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \geq 3 \text{ for } 1 \leq i < \ell(\lambda) \text{ and } \geq \text{ is } > \text{ when } \lambda_i \in 3\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Note $D_3 \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{1,6}$ since it is easy to see $D_p (= \text{Reg}_2 \cap \text{CReg}_p) \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \text{CReg}_2 \cap \text{CReg}_p$ for an odd $p \geq 3$. Thus, Schur PT can be regarded as a mod 6 analog of RRPT $R \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{1,5}$. A new feature is that a subpattern avoidance appears in Schur_3 , namely, $\text{shift}_3^k((6, 3))$ for $k \geq 0$ is forbidden in order that $\lambda \in \text{Par}_{\text{str}}$ belongs to Schur_3 .

Let $\mathfrak{S}_n = \text{Aut}(\{1, \dots, n\})$ be the symmetric group of n letters. For $\lambda \in \text{Par}$, we can construct $\mathbb{Z}$ -free of finite rank $\mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{S}_n$ -module S^λ with an $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -invariant bilinear form $\langle, \rangle : S^\lambda \times S^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (Specht module [Jam]). The construction gives labels:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Par}(n) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Irr}(\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_n), & \lambda &\longmapsto \mathbb{Q} \otimes S^\lambda \\ \text{Reg}_p(n) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p\mathfrak{S}_n), & \lambda &\longmapsto D_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\lambda := (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes S^\lambda) / \text{rad}(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes \langle, \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here, $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n)$ for a field $\mathbb{F}$ stands for the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n$ -modules. The Glaisher bijection $\text{Reg}_p \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \text{CReg}_p$ restricted to a prime $p \geq 2$ is an incarnation of a theorem of Brauer (see [Isa, (15.11)]).

The parameterization (3) has nice natures. For example, for a prime $p \geq 2$, the p -decomposition matrix of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is regularized as the form of Figure 1. There, the row is indexed by $\text{Reg}_p(n)$ lexicographically decreasing order followed by $\text{Par}(n) \setminus \text{Reg}_p(n)$ and the column is again indexed by $\text{Reg}_p(n)$ lexicographically decreasing order.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & O \\ & \ddots & & \\ * & & & 1 \\ \hline * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

FIGURE 1. Regularization of p -modular decomposition matrix of $\mathfrak{S}_n$

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ be a Schur cover of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ (see [Ste, §1]) with a short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \langle z \mid z^2 = 1 \rangle \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n \longrightarrow 1.$$

In the rest, $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n)$ for a field $\mathbb{F}$ with $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ stands for the set of isomorphism classes (modulo association, see [Ste, §4], [KKT, §2.5]) of irreducible $\mathbb{F}\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ -modules with z acting as -1 . Since Schur established $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n) \cong \text{Par}_{\text{str}}(n)$ [Sc2], it is natural to expect an existence of a class $\text{Schur}_p \subseteq \text{Par}_{\text{str}}$ such that

- $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n) \cong \text{Schur}_p$ (this implies $\text{Schur}_p \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} D_p$ by a version of the Brauer theorem, see [Be2, §3]),
- the parameterization is nice, e.g., an analogous regularization result for the p -modular decomposition matrix of $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ holds modulo power of 2.

Bessenrodt-Morris-Olsson showed that this is actually fulfilled for $p = 3$ [BMO, Theorem 4.5]. In pursuing a result for $p = 5$, they found a class Schur_5 :

Theorem 1.8 ([BMO, §5, Conjecture]=[ABO, Theorem 3.1]). $D_5 \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \text{Schur}_5$ where Schur_5 is a set of strict partitions $\lambda \in \text{Par}_{\text{str}}$ such that

- (D1) $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+2} \geq 5$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda) - 2$ and $\geq$ is $>$ when $\lambda_i \in 5\mathbb{Z}$ or $\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} \in 5\mathbb{Z}$,
- (D2) λ does not contain any of the following subpattern where $k \geq 0$

$$\text{shift}_5^k((3, 2)), \text{shift}_5^k((11, 9, 5)), \text{shift}_5^k((10, 6, 4)), \text{shift}_5^k((11, 10, 5, 4)).$$

Theorem 1.8 appeared as a concluding conjecture of [BMO] with a notice $B_{4,3,3}^\circ \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \text{Schur}_5$ via a size-preserving bijection $\Omega_5 : B_{4,3,3}^\circ \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Schur}_5$. Here $\Omega_5(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in B_{4,3,3}^\circ$ is defined by replacing every occurrence of $(5k, 5k)$ with $(5k+1, 5k-1)$. Thus, Theorem 1.6 implies Theorem 1.8 and vice versa. Further, a weaker regularization result analogous to [BMO, Theorem 4.5] holds (see [Be2, Theorem 3.10]).

Naturally, a definition of Schur_7 was searched resulting in failure (see [ABO, Be2, LT] for partial results). Moreover, an expectation of an existence of Schur_p itself seems to have disappeared once as explained in §1.4.

Our project started when we succeeded (at least to meet $\text{Schur}_7 \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} D_7$) in singling out the class $\text{Schur}_7 \subseteq \text{Par}_{\text{str}}$ (see Example 5.3) based on heuristics coming from [KMPY, KOR, LT, Ols] (which we discuss elsewhere). To prove $D_7 \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \text{Schur}_7$ by finitization, we have to devise a size-preserving injection “ Ω_7^{-1} ”: $\text{Schur}_7 \hookrightarrow \text{Par}$ that $\text{Image}(\Omega_7^{-1})$ behaves like $B_{4,3,3}^\circ$ at $p = 5$. Looking through Schur_3 due to Schur, $B_{4,3,3}^\circ (\stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \text{Schur}_5)$ due to Andrews and $\text{Image}(\Omega_7^{-1}) (\stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \text{Schur}_7)$, a definition of S_p for general odd $p \geq 3$ came up. Seeing an easy way of remembering the definition as in Example 2.3, it is impressive that S_p does not occur in the theory of partitions.

1.4. Modular branching rules and Kashiwara crystal theory. Lascoux-Leclerc-Thibon-Ariki theory (see [Kle] and references therein) started from Leclerc's observation that of Kleshchev's modular branching rule is an $A_{p-1}^{(1)}$ -crystal isomorphism:

$$\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{S}_n) \cong \text{Reg}_p (\cong B(\Lambda_0)), \quad \lambda \mapsto D_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\lambda. \quad (4)$$

Here $p \geq 2$ is a prime and Reg_p has an $A_{p-1}^{(1)}$ -crystal structure through Misra-Miwa realization [MM]. For Kashiwara crystal theory, see a review in §4.

Later, relying on a characterization of crystal due to Kashiwara-Saito [KS, Proposition 3.2.3], Grojnowski devised a method to establish a connection between Kashiwara's crystal and a tower of "Hecke algebras" $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ [Gro, GV]. Based on that, Brundan-Kleshchev established a modular branching rule for $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n$:

Theorem 1.9 ([BK1, Theorem 8.11]). *The set $\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n)$ has an $A_{p-1}^{(2)}$ -crystal structure (see Figure 2) that is isomorphic to $B(\Lambda_0)$ for an odd prime $p \geq 3$.*

The method does not require a detail of $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ in advance, but starts from a branching rule on $\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ in a spirit similar to Okounkov-Vershik [OV]. To get concrete as (4), Kyoto path realization via perfect crystal $[\text{KMN}_1^2, \text{KMN}_2^2]$ (see §4.6, §4.8) is used. An application affords an $A_{p-1}^{(2)}$ -crystal isomorphism:

$$\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_n) \cong \text{RP}_p (\cong B(\Lambda_0))$$

where RP_p is a set of p -strict p -restricted partitions in the sense [BK1, §9-a], namely

$$\lambda \in \text{RP}_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \leq p \text{ for } 1 \leq i < \ell(\lambda) \text{ and } \leq \text{ is } < \text{ if } \lambda_i \in p\mathbb{Z}.$$

The parameterization by RP_p , proposed first in [LT, §7], is obtained by a different method [BK2]. Moreover, through it, a certain regularization result holds [BK3].

While this story may be a reason of abandonment of searching $\text{Schur}_p \subseteq \text{Par}_{\text{str}}$, it gives us a clue to prove Theorem 1.2. What we actually prove is:

Theorem 1.10. *For an odd integer $p \geq 3$, the set S_p has an $A_{p-1}^{(2)}$ -crystal structure:*

- (1) *that is isomorphic to $B(\Lambda_0)$,*
- (2) *$|\tilde{f}_i \lambda| = |\lambda| + 1$ if $\tilde{f}_i \lambda$ is defined for $\lambda \in S_p$, $i \in I_p = \{0, 1, \dots, h := (p+1)/2\}$.*

The statement $\text{RP}_p \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} S_p$ can be understood as a kind of transpose (or conjugate) of Young diagram (for $p = 3$, this metaphor is accurate as explained in [Be1, §3]).

1.5. A definition of Schur_p . Since $S_p \subseteq \text{Par}_{p\text{-str}}$ is not a subset of Par_{str} when $p \neq 3$, it is not a conjectural Schur_p . But by Theorem 1.2 any $\beta : S_p^{p-h, p+h} \hookrightarrow \text{Par}_{\text{str}}^{p-h, p+h}$ with a mild condition gives birth $\text{Schur}_{p, \beta} \subseteq \text{Par}_{\text{str}}$ such that (see §5.3)

- (E1) $\text{Schur}_{p, \beta} \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} D_p$,
- (E2) there exists a finite set $Z_{p, \beta} \subseteq \text{Par}_{\text{str}}$ such that $\lambda \in \text{Schur}_{p, \beta}$ iff λ does not match a subpattern $\text{shift}_p^k(\mu)$ for $\mu \in Z_{p, \beta}$ and $k \geq 0$.

Though the statement is purely partition theoretical, an existence of such a class $\text{Schur}_{p, \beta}$ is non-trivial. In §5.3, we propose a definition of Schur_p (i.e., a definition of a suitable $\beta = \beta_p$) for an odd $p \geq 3$ that generalizes Schur_3 and Schur_5 .

The class Schur_p is defined based on a good behavior with respect to Kashiwara crystal theory (which we discuss elsewhere). We expect that Schur_p plays a role in p -modular spin representation theory of $\mathfrak{S}_n$, e.g. a regularization theorem holds. At least, Schur_p (and S_p) is compatible with modular branching rule.

1.6. Remarks on the proof. The proof of Theorem 1.2 (even Theorem 1.10) shares with a spirit of categorification, in the sense through an interpretation of a rich mathematical structure. Especially, the proof is not completely elementary.

Though the proof of Theorem 1.10 is an application of Kyoto path realization, we would like to emphasize that the method is different from what a specialist might imagine. In the framework, a natural way to realize an affine crystal as a subset of Par use a perfect crystal that does not have a branching vertex in the sense [Tsu, §1] as an ingredient. Aforementioned $A_{p-1}^{(2)}$ -crystal isomorphism $\text{RP}_p \cong B(\Lambda_0)$ for $p = 2h + 1 \geq 3$ is an example. However, in the proof, Kirillov-Reshetikhin perfect crystal $B^{h,2}$ for $(A_{p-1}^{(2)})^\dagger$ (see §4.7) that do have many branching vertices, fulfills all the purpose admirably with some miracles such as Theorem 3.16.

Based on a companion result [Tsu, Corollary 6.11] to [BK1, Theorem 8.11] and a similarity of $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ and $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ explained in [TW, §3] and [Tsu, §1], it is not unreasonable to expect a $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ analog of Theorem 1.10. A natural statement is that Par_{str} has (a nice) $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ -crystal structure that is isomorphic to $B(\Lambda_0)$. It has already appeared as [Oh, Conjecture 0.1] by a different motivation, but known to be false.

It is desirable to understand better an essence in this paper to produce an analogous result for other Lie types or perfect crystals. A connection with other ideas in a vast list of Lie theoretic proof of RR type PT (such as [ASW, LW], if given a few) is also expected. For example, considering a relation between “ $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ RR identities” in [GKW, Theorem 1.1] and our “ $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ Schur PT” might be a good start.

1.7. Notations and Conventions. As in the beginning, Par is the set of partitions. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \text{Par}$, we define $m_i(\lambda) = |\{j \mid \lambda_j = i\}|$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell(\lambda) = \ell$. We promise $\lambda_i = 0$ if $i > \ell(\lambda)$.

For $a \geq 1$, an a -step shift $\text{shift}_a^k(\lambda)$ of λ is defined as $\text{shift}_a^k(\lambda) = (\lambda_1 + ka, \dots, \lambda_\ell + ka)$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $\lambda_\ell + ka > 0$ (we promise $\text{shift}_a^k(\emptyset) = \emptyset$). When we say that λ matches a subpattern $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \in \text{Par}$ (or contains μ as a subpattern) if there is some $1 \leq i \leq \ell - k$ such that $\lambda_{i+j} = \mu_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. For $a \geq 1$, we define

- $\text{Par}_{a\text{-str}}$, the set of a -strict partitions (i.e., $m_i(\lambda) > 1$ implies $i \in a\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$),
- Reg_a , the set of a -regular partitions (i.e., $\forall i \geq 1, m_i(\lambda) < a$),
- CReg_a , the set of a -class regular partitions (i.e., $\forall i \geq 1, m_{ai}(\lambda) = 0$)

and define $\text{Par}_{\text{str}} = \text{Par}_{\infty\text{-str}} = \text{Reg}_2$ to be the set of strict partitions.

Let $a < b$ integers. For $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Par}$, we define $\mathcal{C}^{a,b} = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{C} \mid m_i(\lambda) > 0 \Rightarrow a \leq i \leq b\}$. For $\lambda \in \text{Par}$, $\mu := \lambda|_{[a,b]} \in \text{Par}$ is defined by $m_i(\mu) = m_i(\lambda)$ if $a \leq i \leq b$ and $m_i(\mu) = 0$ if otherwise. For $\lambda \in \text{Par}$ with $m_i(\lambda) = 0 \Leftrightarrow i \notin \lambda$ (resp. $m_i(\lambda) = 1 \Leftrightarrow i \in \lambda$), $\nu := \lambda \sqcup \{i\}$ (resp. $\nu := \lambda \setminus \{i\}$) means a partition such that $m_j(\nu) = m_j(\lambda) + \delta_{ij}$ (resp. $m_j(\nu) = m_j(\lambda) - \delta_{ij}$) for $j \geq 1$.

The symbol $\emptyset$ is reserved for the empty partition. For a sequence $(\mathcal{C}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}_n \subseteq \text{Par}$, a restricted direct product $\times'_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_n = \dots \times' \mathcal{C}_2 \times' \mathcal{C}_1$ stands for $\times'_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_n = \{(\lambda_n) \in \prod_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_n \mid \exists N > 0, \forall n > N, \lambda_n = \emptyset\}$.

1.8. Organization of the paper. In §2, we study combinatorics of the class of partitions $S_p(\subseteq \text{Par}_{p\text{-str}})$ introduced in Theorem 1.2. The zigzag property in §2.3 is a key result and based on that we put a precrystal structure on S_p in §3. Theorem 3.16 is another key result that let us accomplish the proof. Combining Kashiwara crystal theory reviewed in §4, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.10 in §5. Finally, we propose $\text{Schur}_p(\subseteq \text{Par}_{\text{str}})$ whose motivation was explained in §1.3.

2. COMBINATORICS ON S_p

2.1. Definition and routine checks. We begin by clarifying the definition of S_p .

Definition 2.1. For an odd integer $p = 2h + 1 \geq 3$, the subset $S_p \subseteq \text{Par}$ consists of $\lambda \in \text{Par}$ with the following 5 conditions where $1 \leq d \leq h, 0 \leq d' \leq h, k \geq 1, k' \geq 0$.

- (S1) $m_i(\lambda) > 1$ implies $i \in p\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,
- (S2) $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+h} \geq p$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda) - h$,
- (S3) $_{k,d}$ there is no i such that $\lambda_i = pk + d, \lambda_{i+d} = pk - d$,
- (S4) $_{k',d}$ there is no i such that $\lambda_i = pk' + h + d, \lambda_{i+d} = pk' + h + 1 - d$,
- (S5) $_{k,d'}$ there is no i such that $\lambda_i = pk + p + d', \lambda_{i+(h+d)} = pk - d'$.

Remark 2.2. Definition 2.1 looks different from that in Theorem 1.2, but the same:

- the constraint $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+h} > p$ if $\lambda_i \in p\mathbb{Z}$ in (S2) is the same as $\forall k \geq 1, (S5)_{k,0}$,
- $(S3)_{k,h}, (S4)_{k',h}, (S5)_{k,h}$ is redundant in that it follows from (S2)'.

It turns out that Definition 2.1 makes arguments easier in spite of redundancy.

Example 2.3. When $p = 7$, S_7 is defined to be a set of $\lambda \in \text{Par}_{7\text{-str}}$

- with $1 \leq \forall i \leq \ell(\lambda) - 3, \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+3} \geq 7$
- without subpattern of the form and its 7-step shifts.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (8, 6) & (4, 3) & (14, *, *, 7) \\ (9, *, 5) & (5, *, 2) & (15, *, *, *, 6) \\ (10, *, *, 4) & (6, *, *, 1) & (16, *, *, *, *, 5) \\ & & (17, *, *, *, *, *, 4). \end{array}$$

Here $*$ stands for a wild card that we can fill any integer without violating 7-strictness. As in Remark 2.2, S_7 is the same thing to be a set of $\lambda \in \text{Par}_{7\text{-str}}$

- with $1 \leq \forall i \leq \ell(\lambda) - 3, \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+3} \geq 7$ where $\geq$ is $>$ when $\lambda_i \in 7\mathbb{Z}$
- without subpattern of the form and its 7-step shifts.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (8, 6) & (4, 3) & (15, *, *, *, 6) \\ (9, *, 5) & (5, *, 2) & (16, *, *, *, *, 5). \end{array}$$

Both visualizations are practical mnemonics for S_p . By a back-of-the-envelope calculation, you can see that the forbidden subpattern listed just above is reduced to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (8, 6) & (4, 3) & (15, 14, *_1, 7, 6) \\ (9, *_2, 5) & (5, *_3, 2) & (16, a, b, c, d, 5) \end{array}$$

and its 7-step shifts where $7 \leq *_1 \leq 13, 6 \leq *_2 \leq 8, 3 \leq *_3 \leq 4$ and

$$(a, b, c, d) = (14, 13, 7, 6), (14, 13, 8, 7), (15, 14, 7, 6), (15, 14, 8, 7).$$

Remark 2.4. The subpattern avoidance conditions (S3), (S4), (S5) in Definition 2.1 are briefly written as: there is no $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell(\lambda)$ such that

- $j - i < h$ and $\lambda_i + \lambda_j \in p\mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i - \lambda_j \in \{2(j - i), 2(j - i) - 1\}$,
- $h \leq j - i \leq 2h$ and $\lambda_i + \lambda_j \in p\mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i - \lambda_j = 2(j - i) + 1$.

The following 3 lemmas are almost trivial, but the separation as an independent statement makes a proof easier to grasp.

Lemma 2.5. Let $1 \leq a < b$ with $b - a < p$. For $\lambda \in \text{Par}^{a,b}$, (S2)' holds iff $\ell(\lambda) \leq h$.

Lemma 2.6. For $\lambda \in S_p$, take $k \geq 1$ with $pk > \lambda_1$ and define $\mu \in \text{Par}$ by $\ell(\mu) = \ell(\lambda)(=:\ell)$ and $\mu_i + \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)+1-i} = pk$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Then, $\mu \in S_p$.

Lemma 2.7. $S_p^{kp-h, kp-1} = \text{Par}_{p\text{-str}}^{kp-h, kp-1}, S_p^{kp+1, kp+h} = \text{Par}_{p\text{-str}}^{kp+1, kp+h}$ for $k \geq 0$.

The class $S_p \subseteq \text{Par}$ is not a partition ideal in the sense of [An3, §2]. Especially, the membership decision criterion of $\lambda \in S_p$ for $\lambda \in \text{Par}$ cannot be written down by inequalities of $(m_i(\lambda))_{i \geq 1}$ alone for $p \geq 7$ (For $p = 3, 5$, see Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.6). But a weaker closure property holds:

Lemma 2.8. *For any integers $a < b$ and $\lambda \in S_p$, we have $\lambda|_{[a,b]} \in S_p$.*

Conversely, we can investigate whether $\lambda \in S_p$ or not locally:

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\lambda \in \text{Par}$. If $\lambda|_{[(j-1)p-h, jp+h]} \in S_p$ for all $j \geq 1$, then $\lambda \in S_p$.*

(S4) and (S5) can be strengthen:

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\lambda \in S_p$. We have*

- (1) $\sum_{k=jp+h+1-d}^{jp+h+d} m_k(\lambda) \leq d$ for $1 \leq d \leq h$ and $j \geq 0$.
- (2) $\sum_{k=jp-d}^{jp+p+d} m_k(\lambda) \leq h+d$ for $0 \leq d \leq h$ and $j \geq 0$.

Proof. We prove (1), (2) by induction on d . The base case $d = 1$ for (1) follows from (S4)_{j,1}. For $2 \leq d \leq h$, assume (1) holds for $d-1$. If $\sum_{k=jp+h+1-(d-1)}^{jp+h+(d-1)} m_k(\lambda) \leq d-2$, then (1) holds for d by the p -strictness of λ . Since $\sum_{k=jp+h+1-(d-1)}^{jp+h+(d-1)} m_k(\lambda) = d-1$ and $m_{jp+h+1-d}(\lambda) = m_{jp+h+d}(\lambda) = 1$ violates (S4)_{j,d}, (1) holds in this case, too.

The base case $d = 0$ for (2) follows from (S2)' and (S5)_{j,0}. Then the rest of the argument is the same as in the proof of (1) replacing the role of (S4) with (S5). $\square$

We note that Theorem 1.6 gives a definition of $B_{p-1,h+1,h+1}^\circ$ explained in §1.2.

Proposition 2.11. $S_p \subseteq B_{p-1,h+1,h+1}$ (see Theorem 1.5).

Proof. (B2) follows from Lemma 2.5 and $j = 0$ of Proposition 2.10 (1). $\square$

2.2. The map $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$. In this subsection, we fix $j \geq 0$ and put

$$Y_j = \{\lambda \in \text{Par}_{p\text{-str}}^{jp-h, jp+h} \mid \ell(\lambda) \leq h\}$$

as an auxiliary set for constructing bijection $S_p^{jp-h, jp+h} \cong S_p^{jp+1, jp+h} \times S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}$.

Definition 2.12. *For $\lambda \in Y_j$, we put*

$$a_i = a_i(\lambda) := -i + \sum_{k=jp-i}^{jp+i} m_k(\lambda) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq h, \quad (5)$$

$$i_0 = i_0(\lambda) := \min\{0 \leq i \leq h \mid a_i = 0\}$$

and define $\lambda^+ \in S_p^{jp+1, jp+h}$ and $\lambda^- \in S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}$ (see Lemma 2.7) by

$$m_{jp \pm i}(\lambda^\pm) = \begin{cases} 1 - m_{jp \mp i}(\lambda) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq i_0 \\ m_{jp \pm i}(\lambda) & \text{if } i_0 < i \leq h. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Note that $i_0(\lambda)$ exists because $a_0(\lambda) = m_{jp}(\lambda) \geq 0$, $a_h(\lambda) = \ell(\lambda) - h \leq 0$ and

$$a_i(\lambda) - a_{i-1}(\lambda) = m_{jp+i}(\lambda) + m_{jp-i}(\lambda) - 1 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}. \quad (7)$$

Remark 2.13. *Put another way, $\lambda^\pm$ are constructed by the rule:*

- for $1 \leq i \leq i_0$,
 - $jp+i \in \lambda^+$ and $jp-i \in \lambda^-$ if $jp+i \notin \lambda$ and $jp-i \notin \lambda$,
 - $jp+i \in \lambda^+$ and $jp-i \notin \lambda^-$ if $jp+i \in \lambda$ and $jp-i \notin \lambda$,

- $jp+i \notin \lambda^+$ and $jp-i \in \lambda^-$ if $jp+i \notin \lambda$ and $jp-i \in \lambda$,
- $jp+i \notin \lambda^+$ and $jp-i \notin \lambda^-$ if $jp+i \in \lambda$ and $jp-i \in \lambda$.
- for $i_0 < i \leq h$,
 - $jp+i \notin \lambda^+$ and $jp-i \notin \lambda^-$ if $jp+i \notin \lambda$ and $jp-i \notin \lambda$,
 - $jp+i \in \lambda^+$ and $jp-i \notin \lambda^-$ if $jp+i \in \lambda$ and $jp-i \notin \lambda$,
 - $jp+i \notin \lambda^+$ and $jp-i \in \lambda^-$ if $jp+i \notin \lambda$ and $jp-i \in \lambda$,
 - $jp+i \in \lambda^+$ and $jp-i \in \lambda^-$ if $jp+i \in \lambda$ and $jp-i \in \lambda$.

Proposition 2.14.

$$S_p^{jp-h, jp+h} = \{\lambda \in Y_j \mid 1 \leq \forall d \leq h, \text{Not}(a_d(\lambda) = 1 \text{ and } a_{d-1}(\lambda) = 0)\}.$$

Proof. Note that $a_d(\lambda) \leq 0$ for $1 \leq d \leq h$ when $j = 0$ and $S_p^{-h, h} = S_p^{1, h} = \text{Par}^{1, h} = Y_j$ (see Lemma 2.7). Thus, we assume $j \geq 1$ and take $\lambda \in \text{Par}^{jp-h, jp+h}$. Notice that $(S4), (S5)$ for λ are empty, $(S2)'$ is equivalent to $\ell(\lambda) \leq h$ by Lemma 2.5, and $a_d(\lambda) = 1, a_{d-1}(\lambda) = 0$ violates $(S3)_{j,d}$. $\square$

Definition 2.15. For $\mu_+ \in S_p^{jp+1, jp+h}$ and $\mu_- \in S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}$, we put

$$b_i = b_i(\mu_+, \mu_-) := -i + \sum_{k=jp-i}^{jp-1} m_k(\mu_-) + \sum_{k=jp+1}^{jp+i} m_k(\mu_+) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq h,$$

$$j_0 = j_0(\mu_+, \mu_-) := \min\{0 \leq i \leq h \mid b_i = \max_{0 \leq i' \leq h} b_{i'}\}$$

and define $\Psi_j(\mu_+, \mu_-) \in \text{Par}_{p\text{-str}}^{jp-h, jp+h}$ by

$$\Psi_j(\mu_+, \mu_-)_{jp \pm i} = \begin{cases} b_{j_0} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 1 - m_{jp \mp i}(\mu_{\mp}) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq j_0 \\ m_{jp \pm i}(\mu_{\pm}) & \text{if } j_0 < i \leq h. \end{cases}$$

Of course, we can operationally describe the construction of $\Psi_j(\mu_+, \mu_-)$ similar to $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ as in Remark 2.13. This let us easily understand the composite:

Proposition 2.16. We have

(1) for $\lambda \in Y_j$,

$$b_i(\lambda^+, \lambda^-) = \begin{cases} a_0(\lambda) - a_i(\lambda) & \text{if } 0 \leq i < i_0(\lambda) \\ a_0(\lambda) & \text{if } i = i_0(\lambda) \\ a_0(\lambda) + a_i(\lambda) & \text{if } i_0(\lambda) < i \leq h. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

(2) for $\mu_+ \in S_p^{jp+1, jp+h}$ and $\mu_- \in S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}$,

$$a_i(\Psi_j(\mu_+, \mu_-)) = \begin{cases} b_{j_0(\mu_+, \mu_-)}(\mu_+, \mu_-) - b_i(\mu_+, \mu_-) & \text{if } 0 \leq i < j_0(\mu_+, \mu_-) \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j_0(\mu_+, \mu_-) \\ b_i(\mu_+, \mu_-) - b_{j_0(\mu_+, \mu_-)}(\mu_+, \mu_-) & \text{if } j_0(\mu_+, \mu_-) < i \leq h. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Theorem 2.17. The correspondence (see Definition 2.12)

$$S_p^{jp-h, jp+h} \longrightarrow S_p^{jp+1, jp+h} \times S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}, \quad \lambda \longmapsto (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$$

is a bijection with the inverse $\Psi_j : S_p^{jp+1, jp+h} \times S_p^{jp-h, jp-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$.

Proof. First, we prove $\nu := \Psi_j(\mu_+, \mu_-) \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$ for $\mu_+ \in S_p^{jp+1, jp+h}, \mu_- \in S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}$. By (10), (11), we have $a_h(\nu) = \ell(\nu) - h \leq 0$ that means $\nu \in Y_j$. If we have $a_d(\nu) = 1$ (resp. $a_{d-1}(\nu) = 0$) for $1 \leq d \leq h$, then we must have $d < j_0(\mu_+, \mu_-)$ (resp. $d-1 \geq j_0(\mu_+, \mu_-)$) by (9), (10), (11). Thus $\nu \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$ by Proposition 2.14.

To prove $\mu_{\pm} = \nu^{\pm}$ for $\nu := \Psi_j(\mu_+, \mu_-) \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$ with $\mu_+ \in S_p^{jp+1, jp+h}, \mu_- \in S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}$, it is enough to prove $i_0(\nu) = j_0(\mu_+, \mu_-)$. This follows from (9) and (10).

To prove $\lambda = \Psi_j(\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ for $\lambda \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$, it is enough to prove $j_0(\lambda^+, \lambda^-) = i_0(\lambda)$ and $b_{j_0(\lambda^+, \lambda^-)} = a_0(\lambda) (= m_{jp}(\lambda))$. For this purpose, by (8), we just need to see $a_i(\lambda) \leq 0$ for $i_0(\lambda) < i \leq h$. Assume contrary and take the minimum instance $i_0(\lambda) < d \leq h$ with $a_d(\lambda) > 0$. Then, we must have $a_d(\lambda) = 1, a_{d-1}(\lambda) = 0$ by (7). Comparing with Proposition 2.14, this contradicts $\lambda \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$. $\square$

In the latter half of the proof of Theorem 2.17, we have proven the following property of the function a that will be used to prove Corollary 2.23.

Lemma 2.18. *For $\lambda \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$, we have $a_i(\lambda) \leq 0$ whenever $i_0(\lambda) \leq i \leq h$.*

2.3. Zigzag property. The rest of this section is devoted to prove Theorem 2.19 that plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.19. *For $j \geq 0$ and take $\lambda \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$ and $\mu \in S_p^{(j+1)p-h, (j+1)p+h}$. Then, $\mu \sqcup \lambda \in S_p$ if and only if $\mu \sqcup \lambda^+ \in S_p$.*

Corollary 2.20. *For $j \geq 1$ and take $\lambda \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$ and $\nu \in S_p^{(j-1)p-h, (j-1)p+h}$. Then, $\lambda \sqcup \nu \in S_p$ if and only if $\lambda^- \sqcup \nu \in S_p$.*

Proof. Define $\lambda', \mu \in \text{Par}$ by $m_i(\lambda') = m_{2jp-i}(\lambda), m_i(\mu) = m_{2jp-i}(\nu)$. By Lemma 2.6, $\lambda' \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$ and $\mu \in S_p^{(j+1)p-h, (j+1)p+h}$. Since $\lambda \sqcup \nu \in S_p \Leftrightarrow \mu \sqcup \lambda' \in S_p$ by Lemma 2.6, $\lambda \sqcup \nu \in S_p \Leftrightarrow \mu \sqcup (\lambda')^+ \in S_p$ by Theorem 2.19. We easily see $i_0(\lambda) = i_0(\lambda'), (\lambda')^{\pm} = \lambda^{\mp}$, thus $\mu \sqcup (\lambda')^+ \in S_p \Leftrightarrow \lambda^- \sqcup \nu \in S_p$ by Lemma 2.6. $\square$

Corollary 2.21. *For $j \geq 1$ and take $\lambda \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$ and $\nu \in S_p^{(j-1)p-h, (j-1)p+h}$. Then, $\lambda \sqcup \nu \in S_p$ if and only if $\lambda^- \sqcup \nu^+ \in S_p$.*

After preparations, we shall prove Theorem 2.19 in §2.4 and §2.5.

Proposition 2.22. *For $j \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=c}^h m_{jp+k}(\lambda^+) = \begin{cases} \Delta^+ + a_{c-1}(\lambda) = \Delta^- - (c-1) & \text{if } 1 \leq c \leq i_0(\lambda) \\ \Delta^+ = \Delta^- - (c-1 + a_{c-1}(\lambda)) & \text{if } i_0(\lambda) < c \leq h. \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq c \leq h$ where $\Delta^+ = \sum_{k=c}^h m_{jp+k}(\lambda)$ and $\Delta^- = \sum_{k=1-c}^h m_{jp+k}(\lambda)$.

Proof. Direct calculations using (5) and (6) in Definition 2.12. Write $i_0 := i_0(\lambda)$.

Assume $i_0 < c \leq h$. Because $m_{jp+i}(\lambda^+) = m_{jp+i}(\lambda)$ for $i_0 < i \leq h$, $\sum_{k=c}^h m_{jp+k}(\lambda^+) = \Delta^+$ is obvious. Similarly, $\Delta^- - (c-1 + a_{c-1}(\lambda)) = \sum_{k=1-c}^h m_{jp+k}(\lambda) - \sum_{k=-c}^{c-1} m_{jp+k}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=c}^h m_{jp+k}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=c}^h m_{jp+k}(\lambda^+)$.

Assume $1 \leq c \leq i_0(\lambda)$. By (6), $\sum_{k=c}^h m_{jp+k}(\lambda^+) = X$ where $X = \sum_{k=i_0+1}^h m_{jp+k}(\lambda) + \sum_{k=c}^{i_0} (1 - m_{jp-k}(\lambda))$. Note $X = \Delta^+ - \sum_{k=c}^{i_0} (-1 + m_{jp-k}(\lambda) + m_{jp+k}(\lambda)) = \Delta^+ - (a_{i_0}(\lambda) - a_{c-1}(\lambda))$. Similarly, $X = \Delta^- - \sum_{k=1-c}^{i_0} m_{jp+k}(\lambda) + \sum_{k=c}^{i_0} (1 - m_{jp-k}(\lambda)) = \Delta^- - \sum_{k=-i_0}^{i_0} m_{jp+k}(\lambda) + (i_0 - c + 1) = \Delta^- - (a_{i_0}(\lambda) + i_0) + (i_0 - c + 1)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.23. *In the setting of Proposition 2.22,*

$$\sum_{k=c}^h m_{jp+k}(\lambda^+) \geq \Delta^+, \Delta^- - (c-1).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.18. □

The following is trivial, but to clarify the proof we separate it.

Lemma 2.24. *For $\lambda \in \text{Par} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with $\lambda_1 \leq x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then for $y \leq x$ and $z \geq 1$, the inequality $\sum_{k=y}^x m_k(\lambda) \geq z$ implies $z \leq \ell(\lambda)$ and $\lambda_z \geq y$.*

2.4. Proof of $\mu \sqcup \lambda \notin S_p \implies \mu \sqcup \lambda^+ \notin S_p$.

2.4.1. Setting. Let $\nu := \mu \sqcup \lambda \notin S_p$ and $\nu' := \mu \sqcup \lambda^+ \in \text{Par}$. There are 3 possible reasons for $\nu \notin S_p$ in spite of $\lambda \in S_p^{jp-h, jp+h}, \mu \in S_p^{(j+1)p-h, (j+1)p+h}$: there exist $1 \leq a \leq \ell(\mu)$ and $1 \leq b \leq \ell(\lambda)$ such that (P) or (Q) or (R). Here $D = (\ell(\mu) - a) + (b - 1)$ is the number of parts in ν between μ_a and λ_b not including them.

- (P) $\mu_a - \lambda_b < p$ with $D = h - 1$,
- (Q) $\mu_a = jp + (h + d), \lambda_b = jp + h + 1 - d$ for some $1 \leq d \leq h$ with $D = d - 1$,
- (R) $\mu_a = jp + (p + d), \lambda_b = jp - d$ for some $0 \leq d \leq h$ with $D = h - 1 + d$.

2.4.2. The case $\lambda_b = jp + c$ for some $1 \leq c \leq h$. This case only occurs when (P) or (Q). Clearly, $\sum_{k=jp+c}^{jp+h} m_k(\lambda) = b(\geq 1)$. By Corollary 2.23, we have $\sum_{k=jp+c}^{jp+h} m_k(\lambda^+) \geq b$. By Lemma 2.24, we get $1 \leq b \leq \ell(\lambda^+)$ and $(\lambda^+)_b \geq \lambda_b$. In each case (P) or (Q), we see $\nu' \notin S_p$ as follows:

- (P) $\mu_a - (\lambda^+)_b < p$ with $D = h - 1$ violates (S2)' again,
- (Q) $\mu_a = jp + (h + d), (\lambda^+)_b \geq jp + h + 1 - d$ with $D = d - 1$ violates Proposition 2.10 (1).

2.4.3. The case $\lambda_b = jp - c$ for some $0 \leq c \leq h$. It only occurs when (P) or (R). Clearly, $\sum_{k=jp-c}^{jp+h} m_k(\lambda) \geq b(\geq 1)$. We may assume $c \neq h$ in each case as follows:

- (P) If $\lambda_b = jp - h$, then $\mu_a - \lambda_b \geq p$ for any $jp + h + 1 \leq \mu_a (\leq jp + p + h)$,
- (R) As in Remark 2.2, (S5)_{j,h} is redundant.

Let $c' = c + 1$. Then $1 \leq c' \leq h$ and by Corollary 2.23 we have $\sum_{k=jp+c'}^{jp+h} m_k(\lambda^+) \geq b - (c' - 1) = b - c$. We see $b > c$ in each case as follows:

- (P) Since $\mu_a \leq jp + p - (1 + c)$ and $\mu_{\ell(\mu)} \geq jp + p - h$, we have $\ell(\mu) - a \leq h - (c + 1)$. Thus, $b - 1 = D - (\ell(\mu) - a) \geq c$,
- (R) By Proposition 2.14, $\ell(\mu) \leq h$. Thus, $b - 1 \geq D - (h - 1) = d (= c)$.

By Lemma 2.24, we get $(\lambda^+)_{b-c} \geq jp + (c + 1)$ and in each case (P) or (R), we see $\nu' \notin S_p$ as follows. Here $D' := D - c$ is the number of parts in ν' between μ_a and $(\lambda^+)_{b-c}$ not including them.

- (P) $\mu_a \leq jp + p - (1 + c) = jp + h + (h - c), (\lambda^+)_{b-c} \geq jp + (c + 1) = jp + h + 1 - (h - c)$ with $D' = h - 1 - c$ and $1 \leq h - c \leq h$ violates Proposition 2.10 (1).
- (R) $\mu_a = jp + (p + d), (\lambda^+)_{b-d} \geq jp + (d + 1)$ with $D' = h - 1$ violates (S2)'.

2.5. Proof of $\mu \sqcup \lambda^+ \notin S_p \implies \mu \sqcup \lambda \notin S_p$.

2.5.1. *Setting.* Let $\nu := \mu \sqcup \lambda \notin S_p$ and $\nu' := \mu \sqcup \lambda^+ \in \text{Par}$. There are 2 possible reasons for $\nu' \notin S_p$: there exist $1 \leq a \leq \ell(\mu)$ and $1 \leq b \leq \ell(\lambda^+)$ such that (X) or (Y). Here $D' = (\ell(\mu) - a) + (b - 1)$ is the number of parts in ν' between μ_a and $(\lambda^+)_b$ not including them.

(X) $\mu_a - (\lambda^+)_b < p$ with $D' = h - 1$,

(Y) $\mu_a = jp + (h + d)$, $(\lambda^+)_b = jp + h + 1 - d$ for some $1 \leq d \leq h$ with $D' = d - 1$,

We write $(\lambda^+)_b = jp + c$ for some $1 \leq c \leq h$. Clearly, $\sum_{k=jp+c}^{jp+h} m_k(\lambda^+) = b \geq 1$.

2.5.2. *The case $i_0(\lambda) < c$.* This case is trivial since $\lambda_b = (\lambda^+)_b$ by definition.

2.5.3. *The case $1 \leq c \leq i_0(\lambda)$.* By Proposition 2.22, $\sum_{k=jp+1-c}^{jp+h} m_k(\lambda) = b + (c - 1)$, thus we get $\lambda_{b+c-1} \geq jp + 1 - c$ by Lemma 2.24. In each case (X) or (Y), we see $\nu \notin S_p$ as follows. Here $D := D' + (c - 1)$ is the number of parts in ν between μ_a and $(\lambda^+)_{b+c-1}$ not including them.

(X) $\mu_a \leq jp + p + (c - 1)$, $\lambda_{b+c-1} \geq jp - (c - 1)$ with $D = (h - 1) + (c - 1) = h + c - 2$ violates Proposition 2.10 (2).

(Y) $\mu_a = jp + (h + d)$, $\lambda_{b+h-d} \geq jp - (h - d)$ with $D = (d - 1) + (h - d) = h - 1$ violates (S2)'.

3. PRECRYSTAL STRUCTURES ON S_p

Kashiwara's crystal (see §4.4) is a Lie-theoretic object. In this section, we consider a toy model of it whose definition is free from Lie theory.

3.1. **A category of precrystals.** Let Pfn be the category of sets and partial functions, namely a correspondence that is defined on a subset of a domain. We denote by Set_* the coslice category of Set over a singleton $\{*\}$, i.e., the category of sets with basepoint and basepoint-preserving maps. A functor $C : \text{Pfn} \rightarrow \text{Set}_*$ whose assignments on object $A \in \text{Pfn}$ is $C(A) = (A \sqcup \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{0})$ and

$$C(f : A \rightarrow B) : (A \sqcup \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (B \sqcup \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{0})$$

$$a \mapsto \begin{cases} f(a) & \text{if } a \in A \text{ and } f(a) \text{ is defined} \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

on morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Pfn}}(A, B)$ affords a category equivalence $C : \text{Pfn} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Set}_*$ [Lei, Exercise 2.3.12]. We fix one more functor $C' : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}_*$, a left adjoint of the forgetful functor. We may take $C' = C|_{\text{Set}}$ regarding Set as a subcategory of Pfn .

Definition 3.1 ([KMN₂², pp.500]). *For a set I , an I -precrystal structure on a set B is a data of Kashiwara operators $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Pfn}}(B, B)$ for $i \in I$ such that*

(1) *for any $b \in B$, both of the following take finite values:*

$$\varepsilon_i(b) := \sup\{n \geq 0 \mid (C\tilde{e}_i)^n(b) \neq \mathbf{0}\},$$

$$\varphi_i(b) := \sup\{n \geq 0 \mid (C\tilde{f}_i)^n(b) \neq \mathbf{0}\},$$

(2) *$\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ are pseudo-inverse in the sense: $\forall b, \forall b' \in B, \tilde{e}_i b = b' \Leftrightarrow b = \tilde{f}_i b'$.*

Remark 3.2. *A crystal graph $\text{CG}(B)$ of an I -precrystal B is an I -colored directed graph whose vertex set is B and an adjacent relation $b \xrightarrow{i} b'$ (meaning that there is an i -colored directed edge from b to b') iff $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$ where $i \in I, b, b' \in B$. Obviously, I -precrystal structure can be recovered from the crystal graph.*

We usually omit the index set I when it is clear from the context. Precrystals form a category by declaring that a morphism between $(B; (\tilde{e}_i)_{i \in I}, (\tilde{f}_i)_{i \in I})$ and $(B'; I, (\tilde{e}'_i)_{i \in I}, (\tilde{f}'_i)_{i \in I})$ is a map $g : B \rightarrow B'$ such that

$$C(\tilde{e}'_i) \circ C'(g) = C'(g) \circ C(\tilde{e}_i), \quad C(\tilde{f}'_i) \circ C'(g) = C'(g) \circ C(\tilde{f}_i)$$

for all $i \in I$. While this definition of morphism is too naive to be useful in Kashiwara crystal theory (see [Ka1, Remarque 4.2.1]), it fulfills our purpose.

3.2. The tensor product rule. The category of I -precystals is a strict monoidal category with a unit precystal $\{\bullet\}$ where $\tilde{e}_i \bullet = \mathbf{0} = \tilde{f}_i \bullet$ for all $i \in I$.

Definition 3.3 ([Ka1, Definition 2.3.2]). *Given 2 precystals $(B_1; (\tilde{e}_{1,i})_{i \in I}, (\tilde{f}_{1,i})_{i \in I})$ and $(B_2; (\tilde{e}_{2,i})_{i \in I}, (\tilde{f}_{2,i})_{i \in I})$, we denote by $B_1 \otimes B_2 = B_1 \times B_2$ the precystal whose Kashiwara operators are defined according to the tensor product rule:*

$$C(\tilde{e}_i)(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} (C(\tilde{e}_{1,i})b_1) \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \geq \varepsilon_i(b_2) \\ b_1 \otimes (C(\tilde{e}_{2,i})b_2) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) < \varepsilon_i(b_2), \end{cases}$$

$$C(\tilde{f}_i)(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} (C(\tilde{f}_{1,i})b_1) \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) > \varepsilon_i(b_2) \\ b_1 \otimes (C(\tilde{f}_{2,i})b_2) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \leq \varepsilon_i(b_2). \end{cases}$$

Here $b_1 \otimes b_2$ means $(b_1, b_2) \in B_1 \times B_2$ and $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \otimes b_2 = b_1 \otimes \mathbf{0}$ for $b_1 \in B_1, b_2 \in B_2$.

Although we have $B \otimes B' \not\cong B' \otimes B$ in general, the associativity holds.

Proposition 3.4 ([Ka1, Proposition 2.3.3]). *For precystals B_1, B_2, B_3 , a bijection*

$$(B_1 \otimes B_2) \otimes B_3 \longrightarrow B_1 \otimes (B_2 \otimes B_3), \quad (b_1 \otimes b_2) \otimes b_3 \longmapsto b_1 \otimes (b_2 \otimes b_3)$$

is an isomorphism of precystals.

Actually, for precystals $B_1, \dots, B_m$ and $b = b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_m \in B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_m$, we can see that (see [KN, §2.1] and [Ka1, §2.3])

$$\varepsilon_i(b) = \max\{\varepsilon_{i,k} := \varepsilon_i(b_k) - \sum_{1 \leq \mu < k} (\varphi_i(b_\mu) - \varepsilon_i(b_\mu)) \mid 1 \leq k \leq m\},$$

$$\varphi_i(b) = \max\{\varphi_{i,k} := \varphi_i(b_k) + \sum_{k < \mu \leq m} (\varphi_i(b_\mu) - \varepsilon_i(b_\mu)) \mid 1 \leq k \leq m\}, \quad (12)$$

$$\tilde{e}_i b = b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes (\tilde{e}_i b_{k_e}) \otimes \dots \otimes b_m,$$

$$\tilde{f}_i b = b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes (\tilde{f}_i b_{k_f}) \otimes \dots \otimes b_m$$

where k_e (resp. k_f) is the smallest (resp. largest) $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that $\varepsilon_i(b) = \varepsilon_{i,k} (\Leftrightarrow \varphi_i(b) = \varphi_{i,k})$ if exists and $C(\tilde{e}_i)b = \mathbf{0}$ (resp. $C(\tilde{f}_i)b = \mathbf{0}$) otherwise.

Remark 3.5. *There is an algorithmistic translation of the tensor product rule. Given $b := b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n \in B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_n$, write a $\pm$ -sequence (the i -signature of b)*

$$\underbrace{- \dots -}_{\varphi_i(b_1)} \underbrace{+ \dots +}_{\varepsilon_i(b_1)} \quad \dots \quad \underbrace{- \dots -}_{\varphi_i(b_n)} \underbrace{+ \dots +}_{\varepsilon_i(b_n)}.$$

Then, we obtain the reduced i -signature of b by deleting every occurrence of a pair $+-$. It is of the form $\underbrace{- \dots -}_{\varepsilon_i(b)} \underbrace{+ \dots +}_{\varphi_i(b)}$ and k_e (resp. k_f) corresponds to the right

(resp. left) most $-$ (resp. $+$) if any (see [KN, §2.1]).

Remark 3.6. For a sequence $(B_n, b_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of pairs of precystal B_n and an element $b_n \in B_n$ with certain conditions (e.g., all B_n and b_n are equal with $\forall i \in I, \varphi_i(b_n) = \varepsilon_i(b_n)$), we can form an infinite tensor product $\otimes'_{n \geq 1} (B_n, b_n)$ on

$$\{x = (x_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \prod_{n \geq 0} B_n \mid \exists N \geq 0, \forall n > N, x_n = b_n\}$$

by a similar formula (12) above (e.g., see [Ka1, §7.2]). We denote $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in $\otimes'_{n \geq 1} (B_n, b_n)$ by $\cdots \otimes x_n \otimes \cdots \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1$.

3.3. Precrystals structures on $S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$. Throughout the paper, we put

$$I_p = \{0, \dots, h\}, \quad I_p^\circ = \{0, \dots, h-1\} \quad (13)$$

for an odd integer $p = 2h + 1 \geq 3$.

Definition 3.7. For $j \geq 0$, we put an I_p° -precystal structure on $S_p^{jp+1, jp+h}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} C(\tilde{e}_0)\lambda &= \begin{cases} \lambda \setminus \{jp+1\} & \text{if } jp+1 \in \lambda \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ C(\tilde{e}_i)\lambda &= \begin{cases} \lambda \setminus \{jp+i+1\} \sqcup \{jp+i\} & \text{if } jp+i \notin \lambda \text{ and } jp+i+1 \in \lambda \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ C(\tilde{f}_0)\lambda &= \begin{cases} \lambda \sqcup \{jp+1\} & \text{if } jp+1 \notin \lambda \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ C(\tilde{f}_i)\lambda &= \begin{cases} \lambda \setminus \{jp+i\} \sqcup \{jp+i+1\} & \text{if } jp+i \in \lambda \text{ and } jp+i+1 \notin \lambda \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq h-1$ and $\lambda \in S_p^{jp+1, jp+h}$.

Definition 3.8. For $j \geq 1$, we put an I_p° -precystal structure on $S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} C(\tilde{e}_0)\lambda &= \begin{cases} \lambda \sqcup \{jp-1\} & \text{if } jp-1 \notin \lambda \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ C(\tilde{e}_i)\lambda &= \begin{cases} \lambda \setminus \{jp-i\} \sqcup \{jp-(i+1)\} & \text{if } jp-i \in \lambda \text{ and } jp-(i+1) \notin \lambda \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ C(\tilde{f}_0)\lambda &= \begin{cases} \lambda \setminus \{jp-1\} & \text{if } jp-1 \in \lambda \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ C(\tilde{f}_i)\lambda &= \begin{cases} \lambda \setminus \{jp-(i+1)\} \sqcup \{jp-i\} & \text{if } jp-i \notin \lambda \text{ and } jp-(i+1) \in \lambda \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq h-1$ and $\lambda \in S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}$.

By Definition 3.3, we get an I_p° -precystal $S_p^{jp-h, jp-1} \otimes S_p^{(j-1)p+1, (j-1)p+h}$. Note

$$S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1} = \{\lambda \in \text{Par}_{\text{str}}^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1} \mid \ell(\lambda) \leq h, 1 \leq \forall d \leq h, (\text{S4})_{j-1, d}\}. \quad (14)$$

Proposition 3.9. Let $j \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$ with $\mu := \lambda|_{[jp-h, jp-1]}, \nu := \lambda|_{[(j-1)p+1, (j-1)p+h]}$. For $i \in I_p^\circ$, if $\mu' \otimes \nu' = \tilde{f}_i(\mu \otimes \nu)$ for $\mu' \in S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}, \nu' \in S_p^{(j-1)p+1, (j-1)p+h}$ (i.e., $C(\tilde{f}_i)(\mu \otimes \nu) \neq \mathbf{0}$), then $\lambda' := \mu' \sqcup \nu' \in S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$.

Proof. First, note that for $1 \leq i < h$, we have

$$jp - (i + 1) = (j - 1)p + h + (h - i), \quad (15)$$

$$jp - i = (j - 1)p + h + (h + 1 - i), \quad (16)$$

$$(j - 1)p + (i + 1) = (j - 1)p + h + 1 - (h - i), \quad (17)$$

$$(j - 1)p + i = (j - 1)p + h + 1 - (h + 1 - i). \quad (18)$$

Concerning $\varepsilon_i(\mu), \varphi_i(\mu), \varepsilon_i(\nu), \varphi_i(\nu) \in \{0, 1\}$, $C(\tilde{f}_i)(\mu \otimes \nu) \neq \mathbf{0}$ is divided into 4 cases. In each case we see $\lambda' \in S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$ as follows:

- $i = 0$ and $\varphi_0(\mu) = 1, \varepsilon_0(\nu) = 0$, i.e., $jp - 1 \in \mu, (j - 1)p + 1 \notin \nu$. This case $\mu' = \mu \setminus \{jp - 1\}, \nu' = \nu$ and thus $\lambda' \in S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$ by Lemma 2.8.
- $i = 0$ and $\varphi_0(\mu) = 0, \varphi_0(\nu) = 1$, i.e., $jp - 1 \notin \mu, (j - 1)p + 1 \notin \nu$. This case $\mu' = \mu, \nu' = \nu \sqcup \{(j - 1)p + 1\}$. Since $jp - 1 \notin \mu$, $(S4)_{j-1, h}$ holds for λ' . Thus, it suffices to prove $\ell(\lambda') \leq h$. In fact, $\ell(\lambda') > h$ implies $\sum_{k=(j-1)p+2}^{jp-2} m_k(\lambda) \geq h$. It violates Proposition 2.10 (1) noting (16), (18).
- $1 \leq i < h$ and $\varphi_i(\mu) = 1, \varepsilon_i(\nu) = 0$, i.e., $jp - i \notin \mu, jp - (i + 1) \in \mu$ and $\text{Not}((j - 1)p + i \notin \nu, (j - 1)p + (i + 1) \in \nu)$. This case $\mu' = \mu \setminus \{jp - (i + 1)\} \sqcup \{jp - i\}, \nu' = \nu$. Noting (16), it is enough to show $(S4)_{j-1, h+1-i}$ holds for λ' . Assume contrary, $\sum_{k=(j-1)p+(i+1)}^{jp-(i+1)} m_k(\lambda') = h - i$. Thus, $\sum_{k=(j-1)p+(i+1)}^{jp-(i+1)} m_k(\lambda) = h + 1 - i$ which contradicts Proposition 2.10 (1).
- $1 \leq i < h$ and $\varphi_i(\mu) = 0, \varphi_i(\nu) = 1$, i.e., $\text{Not}(jp - i \notin \mu, jp - (i + 1) \in \mu)$ and $(j - 1)p + i \in \nu, (j - 1)p + (i + 1) \notin \nu$. This case $\mu' = \mu, \nu' = \nu \setminus \{(j - 1)p + i\} \sqcup \{(j - 1)p + (i + 1)\}$. Noting (17), it is enough to show $(S4)_{j-1, h-i}$ holds for λ' . Assume contrary, i.e., $\sum_{k=(j-1)p+(i+2)}^{jp-(i+2)} m_k(\lambda') = h - 1 - i$ and $m_{jp-(i+1)}(\mu) = 1$. Note that $m_{jp-i}(\mu) = 1$ follows and thus, $\sum_{k=(j-1)p+(i+1)}^{jp-(i+1)} m_k(\lambda) = h - i$. It means $(S4)_{j-1, h+1-i}$ is violated for λ . $\square$

Similarly, we get a $\tilde{e}_i$ version of Proposition 3.9. We do not duplicate a proof.

Corollary 3.10. *For $j \geq 1$, we have an I_p -precystal $S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$ such that*

- (1) *the obvious injection is an I_p° -precystal morphism*

$$S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1} \hookrightarrow S_p^{jp-h, jp-1} \otimes S_p^{(j-1)p+1, (j-1)p+h}$$

$$\lambda \mapsto \lambda|_{[jp-h, jp-1]} \otimes \lambda|_{[(j-1)p+1, (j-1)p+h]},$$

- (2) $\tilde{e}_h$ and $\tilde{f}_h$ are given by:

$$C(\tilde{e}_h)\lambda = \begin{cases} \lambda \setminus \{jp - h\} \sqcup \{(j - 1)p + h\} & \text{if } (j - 1)p + h \notin \lambda \text{ and } jp - h \in \lambda \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$C(\tilde{f}_h)\lambda = \begin{cases} \lambda \setminus \{(j - 1)p + h\} \sqcup \{jp - h\} & \text{if } (j - 1)p + h \in \lambda \text{ and } jp - h \notin \lambda \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Proposition 3.9 and its $\tilde{e}_i$ version imply (1). To prove (2), we need to show $\lambda' := \tilde{f}_h\lambda \in S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$ (resp. $\lambda' := \tilde{e}_h\lambda \in S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$) for $\lambda \in S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$ with $(j - 1)p + h \in \lambda, jp - h \notin \lambda$ (resp. $(j - 1)p + h \notin \lambda, jp - h \in \lambda$). For this purpose, by (14) we just check $(S4)_{j-1, 1}$ holds for λ' that is trivial. $\square$

3.4. A behavior of Kashiwara operators. Though we do not yet know a clear conceptual understanding why it holds, Proposition 3.11 that is responsible for Theorem 3.16 is a key result for proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $j \geq 1$ and assume $\tilde{f}_i(\lambda \otimes \mu) = \lambda' \otimes \mu'$ for $i \in I_p^\circ$ with $\lambda, \lambda' \in S_p^{jp+1, jp+h}, \mu, \mu' \in S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}$. Then, $|\Psi_j(\lambda', \mu')| = |\Psi_j(\lambda, \mu)| + 1$.*

Proof. As in proof of Proposition 3.9, $C(\tilde{f}_i)(\lambda \otimes \mu) \neq \mathbf{0}$ is divided into 4 cases:

- (1) $i = 0$ and $\varphi_0(\lambda) = 1, \varepsilon_0(\mu) = 0$, i.e., $jp + 1 \notin \lambda, jp - 1 \in \mu$. This case $\lambda' = \lambda \sqcup \{jp + 1\}, \mu' = \mu$.
- (2) $i = 0$ and $\varphi_0(\lambda) = 0, \varphi_0(\mu) = 1$, i.e., $jp + 1 \in \lambda, jp - 1 \in \mu$. This case $\lambda' = \lambda, \mu' = \mu \setminus \{jp - 1\}$.
- (3) $1 \leq i < h$ and $\varphi_i(\lambda) = 1, \varepsilon_i(\mu) = 0$, i.e., $jp + i \in \lambda, jp + (i + 1) \notin \lambda$ and $\text{Not}(jp - i \in \mu, jp - (i + 1) \notin \mu)$. This case $\lambda' = \lambda \setminus \{jp + i\} \sqcup \{jp + i + 1\}, \mu' = \mu$.
- (4) $1 \leq i < h$ and $\varphi_i(\lambda) = 0, \varphi_i(\mu) = 1$, i.e., $\text{Not}(jp + i \in \lambda, jp + (i + 1) \notin \lambda)$ and $jp - i \notin \mu, jp - (i + 1) \in \mu$. This case $\lambda' = \lambda, \mu' = \mu \setminus \{jp - (i + 1)\} \sqcup \{jp - i\}$.

In each case, we see the claim holds as in §3.4.1, §3.4.2, §3.4.3, §3.4.4. $\square$

In the following, we define $b_i = b_i(\lambda, \mu), b'_i = b_i(\lambda', \mu')$ for $0 \leq i \leq h$ and $j_0 = j_0(\lambda, \mu), j'_0 = j'_0(\lambda', \mu'), b = b_{j_0}, b' = b'_{j'_0}, \nu_k = m_{jp+k}(\Psi_j(\lambda, \mu)), \nu'_k = m_{jp+k}(\Psi_j(\lambda', \mu'))$ for $-h \leq k \leq h$. We need to show that

$$\sum_{k=-h}^h k \nu'_k - \sum_{k=-h}^h k \nu_k = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=-h}^h \nu'_k = \sum_{k=-h}^h \nu_k$$

that is achieved as follows. Note that $\nu_k = \nu'_k$ for $k \notin \{0, 1, -1\}$ (resp. $k \notin \{0, i, i+1, -i, -(i+1)\}$) in §3.4.1, §3.4.2 (resp. §3.4.3, §3.4.4). Also note that $b_k = b'_k$ for $k < i$ in §3.4.3, §3.4.4.

3.4.1. Proof in case (1). Since $b'_k = b_k + 1$ for $k \geq 1$ and $b_1 = 0$, we consider

- $b = 0$. This case $b' = 1, j_0 = 0, j'_0 = 1$,
- $b \geq 1$. This case $b' = b + 1, j_0 = j'_0 \geq 2$.

In each case, $\nu'_0 = \nu_0 + 1, \nu_1 = 0, \nu_{-1} = 1, \nu'_1 = 0, \nu'_{-1} = 0$.

3.4.2. Proof in case (2). Since $b'_k = b_k - 1$ for $k \geq 1$ and $b_1 = 1$, we consider

- $b = 1$. This case $b' = 0, j_0 = 1, j'_0 = 0$,
- $b \geq 2$. This case $b' = b - 1, j_0 = j'_0 \geq 2$.

In each case, $\nu'_0 = \nu_0 - 1, \nu_1 = 0, \nu_{-1} = 0, \nu'_1 = 1, \nu'_{-1} = 0$.

3.4.3. Proof in case (3). Let $x = b_{i-1} = b'_{i-1}$. We divide (3) into 3 cases:

- $jp - i \notin \mu, jp - (i + 1) \in \mu$. Note $\nu_i = 1, \nu_{-i} = 0, \nu_{i+1} = 0, \nu_{-(i+1)} = 1$. Since $b'_i = x - 1, b_i = b_{i+1} = b'_{i+1} = x, b_k = b'_k$ for $k > i + 1$, we consider
 - $j_0 = j'_0 < i, b = b' \geq x$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm i} = 0, \nu_{\pm(i+1)} = 1$.
 - $j_0 = j'_0 > i + 1, b = b' \geq x + 1$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm i} = 1, \nu_{\pm(i+1)} = 0$.
- $jp - i \notin \mu, jp - (i + 1) \notin \mu$. Note $\nu_i = 1, \nu_{-i} = 0, \nu'_{i+1} = 1, \nu'_{-(i+1)} = 0$. Since $b_i = x, b'_i = b_{i+1} = b'_{i+1} = x - 1, b_k = b'_k$ for $k > i + 1$, we consider
 - $j_0 = j'_0 < i, b = b' \geq x$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm(i+1)} = 0, \nu'_{\pm i} = 0$.
 - $j_0 = j'_0 > i + 1, b = b' \geq x + 1$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm(i+1)} = 1, \nu'_{\pm i} = 1$.
- $jp - i \in \mu, jp - (i + 1) \in \mu$. Note $\nu_{i+1} = 0, \nu_{-(i+1)} = 1, \nu'_i = 0, \nu'_{-i} = 1$. Since $b'_i = x, b_i = b_{i+1} = b'_{i+1} = x + 1, b_k = b'_k$ for $k > i + 1$, we consider
 - $j_0 = j'_0 < i, b = b' \geq x + 1$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm i} = 1, \nu'_{\pm(i+1)} = 1$.
 - $j_0 = j'_0 > i + 1, b = b' \geq x + 2$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm i} = 0, \nu'_{\pm(i+1)} = 0$.

◦ $b = b' = x+1, j_0 = i, j'_0 = i+1$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm i} = 0, \nu'_{\pm(i+1)} = 0$.

3.4.4. *Proof in case (4).* Let $x = b_{i-1} = b'_{i-1}$. We divide (4) into 3 cases:

- $jp + i \notin \lambda, jp + (i+1) \in \lambda$. Note $\nu'_i = 0, \nu'_{-i} = 1, \nu'_{i+1} = 1, \nu'_{-(i+1)} = 0$. Since $b_i = x-1, b'_i = b_{i+1} = b'_{i+1} = x, b_k = b'_k$ for $k > i+1$, we consider
 - $j_0 = j'_0 < i, b = b' \geq x$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm i} = 0, \nu_{\pm(i+1)} = 1$.
 - $j_0 = j'_0 > i+1, b = b' \geq x+1$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm i} = 1, \nu_{\pm(i+1)} = 0$.
- $jp + i \notin \lambda, jp + (i+1) \notin \lambda$. Note $\nu_{i+1} = 0, \nu_{-(i+1)} = 1, \nu'_i = 0, \nu'_{-i} = 1$. Since $b'_i = x, b_i = b_{i+1} = b'_{i+1} = x-1, b_k = b'_k$ for $k > i+1$, we consider
 - $j_0 = j'_0 < i, b = b' \geq x$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm i} = 0, \nu'_{\pm(i+1)} = 0$.
 - $j_0 = j'_0 > i+1, b = b' \geq x+1$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm i} = 1, \nu'_{\pm(i+1)} = 1$.
- $jp + i \in \lambda, jp + (i+1) \in \lambda$. Note $\nu_i = 1, \nu_{-i} = 0, \nu'_{i+1} = 1, \nu'_{-(i+1)} = 0$. Since $b_i = x, b'_i = b_{i+1} = b'_{i+1} = x+1, b_k = b'_k$ for $k > i+1$, we consider
 - $j_0 = j'_0 < i, b = b' \geq x+1$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm(i+1)} = 1, \nu'_{\pm i} = 1$.
 - $j_0 = j'_0 > i+1, b = b' \geq x+2$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm(i+1)} = 0, \nu'_{\pm i} = 0$.
 - $b = b' = x+1, j_0 = i+1, j'_0 = i$. This case $\nu'_0 = \nu_0, \nu_{\pm(i+1)} = 0, \nu'_{\pm i} = 0$.

3.5. **Precrystal structures via zigzag property.** Define an obvious injection

$$\text{res}_p : S_p \hookrightarrow \cdots \times' S_p^{2p-h, 2p+h} \times' S_p^{p-h, p+h} \times' S_p^{1, h}, \quad \lambda \mapsto (\cdots, \lambda^{(2)}, \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(0)})$$

by $\lambda^{(j)} := \lambda|_{[jp-h, jp+h]}$ for $j \geq 0$. By the map in §2.2, we also define a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \text{zz}_p : \cdots \times' S_p^{2p-h, 2p+h} \times' S_p^{p-h, p+h} \times' S_p^{1, h} \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdots \times' S_p^{2p+1, 2p+h} \times' S_p^{2p-h, 2p-1} \times' S_p^{p+1, p+h} \times' S_p^{p-h, p-1} \times' S_p^{1, h} \\ (\cdots, \mu^{(2)}, \mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(0)}) \mapsto (\cdots, (\mu^{(2)})^+, (\mu^{(2)})^-, (\mu^{(1)})^+, (\mu^{(1)})^-, \mu^{(0)}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\text{zz}_p(\text{res}_p(\lambda)) = (\cdots, (\lambda^{(2)})^+, (\lambda^{(2)})^-, (\lambda^{(1)})^+, (\lambda^{(1)})^-, \lambda^{(0)})$ for $\lambda \in S_p$. Then, $(\lambda^{(j)})^- \sqcup (\lambda^{(j-1)})^+ \in S_p$ for all $j \geq 1$.*

Proof. Apply Corollary 2.21 for $\lambda^{(j)} \sqcup \lambda^{(j-1)} = \lambda|_{[(j-1)p-h, jp+h]}$ noting $\lambda^{(0)} = (\lambda^{(0)})^+$. The right hand side belongs to S_p by Lemma 2.8. $\square$

Lemma 3.13. *Let $\mu = (\cdots, \mu_{>}^{(2)}, \mu_{<}^{(2)}, \mu_{>}^{(1)}, \mu_{<}^{(1)}, \mu_{>}^{(0)})$ where $\mu_{>}^{(k)} \in S_p^{kp+1, kp+h}, \mu_{<}^{(j)} \in S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}, j \geq 1, k \geq 0$. If $\mu_{<}^{(j)} \sqcup \mu_{>}^{(j-1)} \in S_p$ for all $j \geq 1$, then $\mu \in \text{Image}(\text{zz}_p \circ \text{res}_p)$.*

Proof. Put $\nu = \cdots \sqcup \nu^{(1)} \sqcup \nu^{(0)} \in \text{Par}$ where $\nu^{(0)} = \mu_{>}^{(0)} = \Psi_0(\mu_{>}^{(0)}, \emptyset)$ and $\nu^{(j)} = \Psi_j(\mu_{>}^{(j)}, \mu_{<}^{(j)})$ for $j \geq 1$. Since $\nu|_{[(j-1)p-h, jp+h]} = \nu^{(j)} \sqcup \nu^{(j-1)} \in S_p$ follows from $\mu_{<}^{(j)} \sqcup \mu_{>}^{(j-1)} \in S_p$ and Corollary 2.21, we get $\nu \in S_p$ by Lemma 2.9. Now $\mu = \text{zz}_p(\text{res}_p(\nu))$ by Theorem 2.17. $\square$

Corollary 3.14. *Let us define another obvious injection*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}'_p : \times'_{j \geq 1} S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1} \hookrightarrow \times'_{j \geq 1} (S_p^{jp-h, jp-1} \times S_p^{(j-1)p+1, (j-1)p+h}) \\ (\nu^{(j)})_{j \geq 1} \mapsto (\nu_{<}^{(j)}, \nu_{>}^{(j-1)})_{j \geq 1} \end{aligned}$$

by $\nu_{<}^{(j)} := \nu^{(j)}|_{[jp-h, jp-1]}, \nu_{>}^{(j-1)} := \nu^{(j-1)}|_{[(j-1)p+1, (j-1)p+h]}$.

- (1) we have $\text{Image}(\text{res}'_p) = \text{Image}(\text{zz}_p \circ \text{res}_p)$.
- (2) the following map is well-defined and is a bijection.

$$(\text{res}'_p)^{-1} \circ \text{zz}_p \circ \text{res}_p : S_p \longrightarrow \times'_{j \geq 1} S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$$

Proof. (1) follows from Lemma 3.12 and Lemma 3.13. Now (2) is trivial. $\square$

Corollary 3.15. *There is a unique I_p -precystal structure on S_p characterized by:*

(1) *for $i \in I_p^\circ$ (see Definition 3.7 and Definition 3.8),*

$$C(\tilde{f}_i)\lambda = (C'(\mathbf{z}_p \circ \mathbf{res}_p))^{-1} C(\tilde{f}_i)(\cdots \otimes ((\lambda^{(2)})^+ \otimes (\lambda^{(2)})^-) \otimes ((\lambda^{(1)})^+ \otimes (\lambda^{(1)})^-) \otimes \lambda^{(0)})$$

(2) *for $i = h$ (see Corollary 3.10 (2)),*

$$C(\tilde{f}_h)\lambda = (C'(\mathbf{z}_p \circ \mathbf{res}_p))^{-1} C(\tilde{f}_h)(\cdots \otimes ((\lambda^{(2)})^- \otimes (\lambda^{(1)})^+) \otimes ((\lambda^{(1)})^- \otimes \lambda^{(0)}))$$

where $\lambda \in S_p$ and $\mathbf{z}_p(\mathbf{res}_p(\lambda)) = (\cdots, (\lambda^{(2)})^+, (\lambda^{(2)})^-, (\lambda^{(1)})^+, (\lambda^{(1)})^-, \lambda^{(0)})$. For an infinite tensor product of precystals, see Remark 3.6.

Proof. As in Remark 3.6, $\times'_{j \geq 1} S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$ has a precystal structure since each $S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$ has as in Corollary 3.10. Thus, S_p gets precystal structure from $\otimes'_{j \geq 1} S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$. The description (1), (2) of $\tilde{f}_i$ follows from Proposition 3.4. $\square$

Since both S_p and $\times'_{j \geq 1} S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$ are countably infinite sets, S_p must have a precystal structure imported from $\otimes'_{j \geq 1} S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$ by any bijection. Our precystal structure is particularly nice in the following sense.

Theorem 3.16. *For $\lambda \in S_p$ and $i \in I_p$ with $C(\tilde{f}_i)\lambda \neq \mathbf{0}$. Then, $|\tilde{f}_i\lambda| = |\lambda| + 1$.*

Proof. For $i \in I_p^\circ$, the claim follows from Proposition 3.11 and Corollary 3.15 (1).

Put $\mathbf{z}_p(\mathbf{res}_p(\lambda)) = (\cdots, (\lambda^{(2)})^+, (\lambda^{(2)})^-, (\lambda^{(1)})^+, (\lambda^{(1)})^-, \lambda^{(0)})$. Assume in

$$\tilde{f}_h(\cdots \otimes ((\lambda^{(2)})^- \otimes (\lambda^{(1)})^+) \otimes ((\lambda^{(1)})^- \otimes \lambda^{(0)})),$$

$\tilde{f}_h$ is applied to $(\lambda^{(j)})^- \otimes (\lambda^{(j-1)})^+$ where $j \geq 1$ (note $\lambda^{(0)} = (\lambda^{(0)})^+$). Especially, we have $\tilde{f}_h((\lambda^{(j)})^- \otimes (\lambda^{(j-1)})^+) = \mu \otimes \nu$ where $\mu = (\lambda^{(j)})^- \sqcup \{jp - h\}$ and $\nu = (\lambda^{(j-1)})^+ \setminus \{(j-1)p + h\}$. To prove the statement for $i = h$, it is enough to show

$$\Psi_j((\lambda^{(j)})^+, \mu) = \Psi_j((\lambda^{(j)})^+, (\lambda^{(j)})^- \sqcup \{jp - h\}),$$

$$\Psi_j(\nu, (\lambda^{(j-1)})^-) = \Psi_{j-1}((\lambda^{(j-1)})^+, (\lambda^{(j-1)})^-) \setminus \{(j-1)p + h\}.$$

For this purpose, it is enough to show that (see Definition 2.15)

$$i_0((\lambda^{(j)})^+, \mu) = i_0((\lambda^{(j)})^+, (\lambda^{(j)})^-) < h, \quad (19)$$

$$i_0(\nu, (\lambda^{(j-1)})^-) = i_0((\lambda^{(j-1)})^+, (\lambda^{(j-1)})^-) < h.. \quad (20)$$

Here we are assuming $j \geq 2$ when proving (20). Now (19) (resp. (20)) follows from $m_{jp+h}((\lambda^{(j)})^+) = 0$ (resp. $m_{(j-1)p-h}((\lambda^{(j-1)})^-) = 0$) that is shown as follows

Assume $m_{jp+h}((\lambda^{(j)})^+) = 1$ (resp. $m_{(j-1)p-h}((\lambda^{(j-1)})^-) = 1$). If $m_{(j+1)p-h}((\lambda^{(j+1)})^-) = 0$ (resp. $m_{(j-2)p+h}((\lambda^{(j-2)})^+) = 0$), then it contradicts the assumption that $\tilde{f}_h$ is applied to $(\lambda^{(j)})^- \otimes (\lambda^{(j-1)})^+$ (see Remark 3.5). If $m_{(j+1)p-h}((\lambda^{(j+1)})^-) = 1$ (resp. $m_{(j-2)p+h}((\lambda^{(j-2)})^+) = 1$), then it contradicts $(\lambda^{(j+1)})^- \sqcup (\lambda^{(j)})^+ \in S_p$ (resp. $(\lambda^{(j-1)})^- \sqcup (\lambda^{(j-2)})^+ \in S_p$) because (S4)_{j,1} (resp. (S4)_{j-2,1}) is violated. $\square$

4. KASHIWARA CRYSTAL THEORY

We recall necessary Lie theory for proof of Theorem 1.10, but basically we assume that readers are familiar with Kac-Moody Lie algebras, quantum groups and Kashiwara crystal theory ([Kac], [Lus], [Ka1] are standard references).

4.1. Quantum groups. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a GCM, i.e.,

- (1) $a_{ii} = 2$ for $i \in I$,
- (2) $a_{ij} \leq 0$ and $a_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{ji} = 0$ for $i \neq j \in I$,
- (3) there exists $(d_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^I$ such that $\gcd(d_i \mid i \in I) = 1$ and $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ for $i, j \in I$.

For a GCM A , we fix a Cartan data for A that is a 4-tuple $(P, P^\vee, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ with

- (1) P is a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank $2|I| - \text{rank } A$ and $P^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z})$,
- (2) $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ are $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly independent elements in P ,
- (3) $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \mid i \in I\}$ are $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly independent elements in $P^\vee$,
- (4) $a_{ij} = \alpha_j(h_i)$ for $i, j \in I$.

We denote by $\langle, \rangle : P^\vee \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the canonical pairing.

Definition 4.1 ([Ka1, Definition 3.1.1]). *The quantum group $U_q = U_q(A)$ associated to A is defined to be a unital associative $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by $\{q^h, e_i, f_i \mid h \in P^\vee, i \in I\}$ that has defining relations:*

- $q^0 = 1$ and $q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'}$ for $h, h' \in P^\vee$,
- $q^h e_i q^{-h} = q^{\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} e_i$ and $q^h f_i q^{-h} = q^{-\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} f_i$ for $h \in P^\vee$ and $i \in I$,
- $e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$ for $i, j \in I$ where $K_i := q^{d_i h_i}$ and $q_i := q^{d_i}$,
- $\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} e_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} e_j e_i^{(k)} = \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} = 0$ for $i \neq j \in I$ where $e_i^{(n)} := e^n / [n]_{d_i}$, $f_i^{(n)} := f^n / [n]_{d_i}$ are divided powers.

Here $[n]_m! := \prod_{j=1}^n [j]_m$ for $n \geq 0, m \geq 1$ is the quantum factorial via the quantum integer $[a]_b := (q^{ab} - q^{-ab}) / (q^b - q^{-b})$ for $a, b \geq 1$.

For $i \in I$, we define $U_{q,i}$ to be a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -subalgebra of U_q generated by $\{e_i, f_i, K_i^{\pm 1}\}$.

4.2. Kashiwara operators.

Definition 4.2 ([Ka1, Definition 3.3.1]). *A U_q -module M is integrable if*

- (1) M has a weight space decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\nu \in P} M_\nu$ where

$$M_\nu = \{m \in M \mid \forall h \in P^\vee, q^h m = q^{\langle h, \nu \rangle} m\} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} M_\nu < +\infty.$$

- (2) e_i and f_i act locally finite on M , i.e., $\forall m \in M, \dim_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} U_{q,i} m < +\infty$.

Let M be an integrable U_q -module. Thanks to the theory of integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules, each weight vector $v \in M_\lambda$ decomposes as $v = \sum_{n \geq \max\{0, -\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle\}} f_i^{(n)} v_n$ where $\lambda \in P$ and $v_n \in \text{Ker } e_i \cap M_{\lambda + n\alpha_i}$ (see [Ka1, Lemme 2.2.2]). This allows us to define endomorphisms $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(M)$ (known as Kashiwara operators) by declaring $\tilde{e}_i v = \sum_{n \geq \max\{1, -\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle\}} f_i^{(n-1)} v_n$ and $\tilde{f}_i v = \sum_{n \geq \max\{0, -\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle\}} f_i^{(n+1)} v_n$.

4.3. Crystal bases. Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the subring of $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ consisting of rational functions regular at $q = 0$, i.e., a localization of the ring $\mathbb{Q}[q]$ at a prime ideal (q) .

Definition 4.3 ([Ka2, Definition 2.3.1]). *A crystal base of an integrable U_q -module M is a pair (L, B) such that*

- (1) L is a free $\mathbb{A}$ -submodule of M with $M \cong \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} L$,
- (2) B is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of L/qL ,
- (3) $\tilde{e}_i L \subseteq L$, $\tilde{f}_i L \subseteq L$ and $\tilde{e}_i B \subseteq B \sqcup \{0\}$, $\tilde{f}_i B \subseteq B \sqcup \{0\}$ for all $i \in I$,
- (4) $L = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} L_\lambda$, $B = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in P} B_\lambda$ where $L_\lambda = L \cap M_\lambda$, $B_\lambda = B \cap (L_\lambda / qL_\lambda)$.
- (5) $b' = \tilde{f}_i b$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_i b'$ for $b, b' \in B$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}^q$ be a full subcategory of all U_q -modules consisting of integrable module M with a finiteness condition on weights:

there exists a finite number of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s \in P$ such that $M_\nu \neq 0$
for $\nu \in P$ implies $\lambda_t - \nu \in Q^+ := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i$ for some $1 \leq t \leq s$.

It is known (see [Lus, §6]) that $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}^q$ is a semisimple category with simple objects $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}^q) = \{V^q(\lambda) := U_q/K(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in P^+\}$ where

$$P^+ = \{\lambda \in P \mid \forall i \in I, \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \geq 0\}, \quad (21)$$

$$K(\lambda) = \sum_{i \in I} U_q e_i + \sum_{i \in I} U_q (q^h - q^{\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} \cdot 1) + \sum_{i \in I} f_i^{1+\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle}. \quad (22)$$

In [Ka2, Theorem 2, Theorem 3], Kashiwara proved the existence and uniqueness of the crystal bases for $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}^q$.

Definition 4.4. For $\lambda \in P^+$, we denote by $(L(\lambda), B(\lambda))$ the crystal base of $V^q(\lambda)$.

While Kashiwara's grand loop argument [Ka2, §4] shows for any $\lambda \in P^+$

$$L(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I^k} \mathbb{A} \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k} u_\lambda, \quad (23)$$

$$B(\lambda) = \{\tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k} u_\lambda + qL(\lambda) \neq 0 + qL(\lambda) \mid k \geq 0, (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I^k\}$$

where $u_\lambda := 1_{U_q} + K(\lambda)$, we need a more transparent realization for $B(\lambda)$.

4.4. Kashiwara's crystal. For a crystal base (L, B) of $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}^q$, $(B; (\tilde{e}_i)_{i \in I}, (\tilde{f}_i)_{i \in I})$ is an I -precrystal [Ka2, (2.4.1)]. Moreover, the equality $\varphi_i(b) - \varepsilon_i(b) = \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle$ for $i \in I, b \in B_\lambda, \lambda \in P$ holds [Ka2, (2.4.2)].

Definition 4.5 ([Ka1, §4.2]). Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a GCM.

- (1) An A -crystal is an I -precrystal B that is P -weighted, namely there is a map $\text{wt} : B \rightarrow P$ such that for $i \in I, \lambda \in P$:
 - $\varphi_i(b) - \varepsilon_i(b) = \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle$ for $b \in B_\lambda := \{b \in B \mid \text{wt}(b) = \lambda\}$,
 - $C(\tilde{e}_i)B_\lambda \subseteq B_{\lambda+\alpha_i} \sqcup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $C(\tilde{f}_i)B_\lambda \subseteq B_{\lambda-\alpha_i} \sqcup \{\mathbf{0}\}$.
- (2) An A -crystal isomorphism $g : B \xrightarrow{\sim} B'$ is defined to be a precrystal isomorphism (see §3.1) such that $\text{wt}(g(b)) = \text{wt}(b)$ for $b \in B$.

We choose a coproduct $\Delta : U_q \rightarrow U_q \otimes U_q$ by (for variants, see [KMPY, §2.2])

$$e_i \mapsto e_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes e_i, \quad f_i \mapsto f_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes f_i, \quad q^h \mapsto q^h \otimes q^h.$$

By [Ka2, Theorem 1], for $M_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}^q$ with crystal bases (L_i, B_i) where $i = 1, 2$,

$$L = L_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} L_2, \quad B = \{b_1 \otimes b_2 \mid b_1 \in B_1, b_2 \in B_2\} (\subseteq L/qL)$$

is a crystal base for $M_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} M_2$. Moreover, the A -crystal structure for B coincides with that on $B_1 \otimes B_2$ as in Definition 3.3 (see [Ka2, (2.4.3)]). Note that the tensor product precrystal $B_1 \otimes B_2$ of A -crystals B_1, B_2 gets P -weighted (thus, is an A -crystal) by $\text{wt}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \text{wt}(b_1) + \text{wt}(b_2)$.

4.5. Classical crystals. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be an affine GCM as in [Kac, Table Aff 1, Aff 2, Aff 3]. There exists unique $(a_i)_{i \in I}, (a_i^\vee)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^I$ characterized by

- $\gcd(a_i \mid i \in I) = 1 = \gcd(a_i^\vee \mid i \in I)$,
- $\forall j \in I, \sum_{i \in I} a_i^\vee a_{ij} = 0 = \sum_{i \in I} a_{ji} a_i$.

We take $i_0 \in I$ as follows so that we always have $a_{i_0} = 1$.

$$i_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A \neq A_{2n}^{(2)} \\ n & \text{if } A = A_{2n}^{(2)}. \end{cases}$$

We also fix a Cartan data $(P, P^\vee, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ for A (see §4.1) as

$$P = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} \Lambda_i \oplus \mathbb{Z} \delta \supseteq \Pi := \{\alpha_i := \sum_{j \in I} a_{ji} \Lambda_j + \delta_{i, i_0} \cdot \delta\},$$

$$P^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z}) \supseteq \Pi^\vee := \{h_i \mid i \in I\} \text{ by } \langle h_i, \Lambda_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \text{ and } \langle h_i, \delta \rangle = 0.$$

Note that $P^+ = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \Lambda_i \oplus \mathbb{Z} \delta \supseteq \Pi$ and $\delta = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \alpha_i$ in P . We set $\text{cl} : P \rightarrow P_{\text{cl}} := P/\mathbb{Z} \delta$ and reserve $\text{cl} : P \rightarrow P_{\text{cl}}$. We also define the dual $P_{\text{cl}}^\vee$ of P_{cl}

$$P_{\text{cl}}^\vee := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_{\text{cl}}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \{h \in P^\vee \mid \langle h, \delta \rangle = 0\} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} h_i \subseteq P^\vee.$$

Set $c := \sum_{i \in I} a_i^\vee h_i \in P_{\text{cl}}^\vee \subseteq P^\vee$ so that $\langle c, \text{cl}(\alpha_i) \rangle_{\text{cl}} = 0 = \langle c, \alpha_i \rangle$ for all $i \in I$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{cl}} : P_{\text{cl}}^\vee \times P_{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the induced canonical pairing from $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : P^\vee \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\{h_i \mid i \in I\} \subseteq P_{\text{cl}}^\vee$ is linearly independent whereas $\{\text{cl}(\alpha_i) \mid i \in I\} \subseteq P_{\text{cl}}$ is not.

Definition 4.6 ([KMN₁², §3.3]). *Let A be an affine GCM. A classical A -crystal is defined by replacing P and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in Definition 4.5 (1) with P_{cl} and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{cl}}$ respectively.*

Example 4.7. *For an A -crystal $(B, \text{wt}, (\tilde{e}_i)_{i \in I}, (\tilde{f}_i)_{i \in I})$, $(B, \text{cl} \circ \text{wt}, (\tilde{e}_i)_{i \in I}, (\tilde{f}_i)_{i \in I})$ is a classical A -crystal.*

A classical A -crystal isomorphism is defined similarly as in Definition 4.5 (2). As classical A -crystals $B(\lambda) \cong B(\lambda')$ for $\lambda, \lambda' \in P^+$ with $\lambda - \lambda' \in \mathbb{Z} \delta$ [KMN₁², §2.3].

Definition 4.8. *For $\lambda \in P_{\text{cl}}^+ := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{cl}(\Lambda_i)$, we denote by $B_{\text{cl}}(\lambda)$ the classical A -crystal isomorphism class of $B(\lambda')$ such that $\text{cl}(\lambda') = \lambda$ and by $u_\lambda \in B_{\text{cl}}(\lambda)$ the correspondent of $u_{\lambda'} + qL(\lambda') \in B(\lambda')$.*

4.6. Perfect crystals. Let U'_q be a sub $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra of U_q generated by $\{K_i^\pm, e_i, f_i \mid i \in I\}$. Unlike U_q , U'_q has various finite-dimensional modules. A notion of finite-dimensional integrable U'_q -module M is defined similarly as in Definition 4.2 by replacing Definition 4.2 (1) with the P_{cl} -weight space decomposition:

$$M = \bigoplus_{\nu \in P_{\text{cl}}} M_\nu \quad \text{where} \quad M_\nu = \{m \in M \mid \forall i \in I, K_i^{\pm 1} m = q^{\pm \langle d_i h_i, \nu \rangle_{\text{cl}}} m\}.$$

We have Kashiwara operators $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i$ on M as in §4.2. A notion of crystal bases is defined similarly as in §4.4. It is P_{cl} -weighted and thus is a classical A -crystal.

Definition 4.9 ([KMN₁², Definition 4.6.1]). *Let A be an affine GCM and let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We say that a classical A -crystal B is a perfect crystal of level ℓ if*

- (1) *there exists a finite-dimensional integrable U'_q -module with a crystal base that is isomorphic to B ,*
- (2) *the crystal graph $\text{CG}(B \otimes B)$ is connected (see Remark 3.2),*
- (3) *there exists $\lambda_0 \in P_{\text{cl}}$ such that $\text{wt}(B) \subseteq \lambda_0 + \sum_{i \neq i_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \text{cl}(\alpha_i)$ and $|B_{\lambda_0}| = 1$,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_2^{(2)} & \circ \Leftarrow \circ & A_{2n}^{(2)} \\
\circ & \circ & \circ \Leftarrow \circ - \cdots - \circ \Leftarrow \circ \\
0 & 1 & 0 \quad 1 \quad \cdots \quad n-1 \quad n \\
(A_2^{(2)})^\dagger & \circ \Rightarrow \circ & (A_{2n}^{(2)})^\dagger \\
\circ & \circ & \circ \Rightarrow \circ - \cdots - \circ \Rightarrow \circ \\
0 & 1 & 0 \quad 1 \quad \cdots \quad n-1 \quad n
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. The affine Dynkin diagrams of $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ and $(A_{2n}^{(2)})^\dagger$ ($n \geq 1$)

- (4) $\langle c, \varepsilon(b) \rangle_{\text{cl}} \geq \ell$ for $b \in B$,
(5) the restrictions of $\varepsilon, \varphi : B \rightarrow P_{\text{cl}}^+$ to $B_{\min} := \{b \in B \mid \langle c, \varepsilon(b) \rangle_{\text{cl}} = \ell\}$ are both bijections to $(P_{\text{cl}}^+)_{\ell} := \{\lambda \in P_{\text{cl}}^+ \mid \langle c, \lambda \rangle_{\text{cl}} = \ell\}$. Here ε, φ are defined by

$$\varepsilon(b) = \sum_{i \in I} \varepsilon_i(b) \text{cl}(\Lambda_i), \quad \varphi(b) = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(b) \text{cl}(\Lambda_i).$$

4.7. Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals. Unlike objects in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}^q$, an integrable U'_q -module do not have a crystal bases in general, However, it is conjectured (see [HKOTY, Conjecture 2.1] and [HKOTT, Conjecture 2.1]) that

- (1) Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules $W^{i,s}$ do have a crystal bases $B^{i,s}$ (KR crystal, for short) where $i \in I \setminus \{i_0\}$, $s \geq 1$.
(2) $B^{i,s}$ is perfect iff $s/t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $t_i = \max(1, a_i/a_i^\vee)$, then the level is s/t_i .

They are settled affirmatively when A is nonexceptional [FOS, OS]. It is also expected that any perfect crystal is a tensor product (see [OSS]) of KR crystals [KNO].

In the paper, KR crystal $B^{n,2}$ for $A = (A_{2n}^{(2)})^\dagger$ (the Landlands dual of $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, see Figure 2) plays a crucial role. Recall our convention for $(A_{2n}^{(2)})^\dagger$:

$$I = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}, \quad i_0 = 0, \quad t_s = \max(1, a_i/a_i^\vee) = 1 + \delta_{n,s} \quad (24)$$

where $s \in I \setminus \{i_0\}$. The precystal structure of $B^{n,2}$ is first determined by Jing-Misra-Okado [JMO, §3] building on a work of Kashiwara-Nakashima [KN, §5].

Definition 4.10 ([KN, §5.2]). For $n \geq 1$, we define $\mathbb{B}_n^1 = \{\boxed{k} \mid -n \leq k \leq n\}$ and its $(I \setminus \{i_0\})$ -precystal structure by where $i' \in \setminus \{i_0, n\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}_{i'} \boxed{j} &= \begin{cases} \boxed{j-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i < n \text{ and } j \in \{-i', i' + 1\} \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
\tilde{e}_n \boxed{j} &= \begin{cases} \boxed{0} & \text{if } 1 \leq i < n \text{ and } j = -n \\ \boxed{n} & \text{if } 1 \leq i < n \text{ and } j = 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
\tilde{f}_{i'} \boxed{j} &= \begin{cases} \boxed{j+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i < n \text{ and } j \in \{-(i' + 1), i'\} \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
\tilde{f}_n \boxed{j} &= \begin{cases} \boxed{0} & \text{if } 1 \leq i < n \text{ and } j = n \\ \boxed{-n} & \text{if } 1 \leq i < n \text{ and } j = 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.11. The crystal graph $\text{CG}(\mathbb{B}_n^1)$ is depicted as follows.

$$\boxed{1} \xrightarrow{1} \boxed{2} \xrightarrow{2} \cdots \xrightarrow{n-2} \boxed{n-1} \xrightarrow{n-1} \boxed{n} \xrightarrow{n} \boxed{0} \xrightarrow{n} \boxed{-n} \xrightarrow{n-1} \boxed{-(n-1)} \xrightarrow{n-2} \cdots \xrightarrow{2} \boxed{-2} \xrightarrow{1} \boxed{-1}.$$

Definition 4.12 ([KN, (5.3.2)]). For for $1 \leq s \leq n$, let $\mathbb{B}_n^s$ is a subset of $(\mathbb{B}_n^1)^{\otimes s}$ consists of $\boxed{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boxed{i_s}$ with

- (1) for $1 \leq j < s$, $i_j \preceq i_{j+1}$ and $i_j = i_{j+1} \Rightarrow i_j = 0$,
- (2) $i_k = t, i_\ell = -t$ for $1 \leq k < \ell \leq s$ and $1 \leq t \leq n$ implies $\ell - k > s - t$.

Here $\preceq$ is a total order on $\{-n, \dots, n\}$ by $1 \preceq \cdots \preceq n \preceq 0 \preceq -n \preceq \cdots \preceq -1$.

Proposition 4.13 ([KN, Proposition 5.3.1], [JMO, Theorem 3.2]). For $1 \leq s \leq n$,

- (1) There is a unique $(I \setminus \{i_0\})$ -precrystal structure on $\mathbb{B}_n^s$ such that the obvious injection $\mathbb{B}_n^s \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{B}_n^1)^{\otimes s}$ is a $(I \setminus \{i_0\})$ -precrystal morphism.
- (2) Moreover, $\mathbb{B}_n^s$ becomes classical $(A_{2n}^{(2)})^\dagger$ -crystal such that $\mathbb{B}_n^s \cong B^{s,t_s}$ after defining suitable weight $\text{wt} : \mathbb{B}_n^s \rightarrow P_{cl}$ and $\tilde{e}_0, \tilde{f}_0 \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Pfn}}(\mathbb{B}_n^s, \mathbb{B}_n^s)$ by

$$C(\tilde{e}_0)(\boxed{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boxed{i_s}) = \begin{cases} \boxed{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boxed{i_s} \otimes \boxed{-1} & \text{if } i_1 = 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$C(\tilde{f}_0)(\boxed{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boxed{i_s}) = \begin{cases} \boxed{1} \otimes \boxed{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boxed{i_{s-1}} & \text{if } i_s = -1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note we never have $i_1 = 1, i_s = -1$ simultaneously by Definition 4.12 (2).

Example 4.14. The crystal graph $\text{CG}(\mathbb{B}_n^1)$ is obtained by adding a 0-arrow from $\boxed{-1}$ to $\boxed{1}$ in Remark 4.11 (see [KMN₁², pp.481]).

Remark 4.15. Attaching a weight, we have $\mathbb{B}_n^s \cong B((1 + \delta_{n,s})\Lambda_s)$ as B_n -crystal where $B_n := (A_{2n}^{(2)})^\dagger|_{(I \setminus \{i_0\}) \times (I \setminus \{i_0\})}$ (see [Kac, Table Fin]).

4.8. Kyoto path realization. When A is affine, $B(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in P^+$ can be realized as a form of infinite tensor product of level $\ell = \langle c, \lambda \rangle$ perfect crystal (if exists).

Theorem 4.16 ([KMN₁², Theorem 4.4.1]). Let A be an affine GCM and let B be a perfect crystal of level ℓ . For $\lambda \in P^+$ with $\langle c, \lambda \rangle = \ell$, there is a unique classical A -crystal isomorphism such that (see Definition 4.8)

$$B_{cl}(\text{cl}(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{cl}(\varepsilon(b_\lambda)) \otimes B, \quad u_{\text{cl}(\lambda)} \mapsto u_{\varepsilon(\varphi^{-1}(\lambda))} \otimes \varphi^{-1}(\lambda). \quad (25)$$

A consequence is that iteration of (25) affords a classical A -crystal isomorphism

$$B_{cl}(\text{cl}(\lambda)) \cong \otimes'_{n \geq 0} (B, \varphi^{-1}(\lambda_n)) \quad (26)$$

where $\lambda_0 = \text{cl}(\lambda)$ and $\lambda_n = \varepsilon(\varphi^{-1}(\lambda_{n-1}))$ for $n \geq 1$ (see [KMN₁², Theorem 4.5.2]).

Remark 4.17. Attaching a weight to the right hand side of (26) by energy function H , (26) can be lifted to an A -crystal isomorphism [KMN₁², Proposition 4.5.4].

Corollary 4.18. There is a unique classical $(A_{2n}^{(2)})^\dagger$ -crystal isomorphism such that

$$B_{cl}(\text{cl}(\Lambda_n)) \cong \otimes'_{k \geq 0} (\mathbb{B}_n^s, \boxed{0}^{\otimes s}), \quad u_{\text{cl}(\Lambda_n)} \mapsto \cdots \otimes \boxed{0}^{\otimes s} \otimes \boxed{0}^{\otimes s}$$

for any $1 \leq s \leq n$.

Proof. It is enough to check $\varphi(\boxed{0}^{\otimes s}) = \text{cl}(\Lambda_n) = \varepsilon(\boxed{0}^{\otimes s})$ that follows from Definition 4.10 and Remark 3.5. $\square$

5. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.10. By Corollary 3.14 (2), Corollary 4.18 for $s = n$, Theorem 3.16 and Remark 4.17, it is enough to show Proposition 5.1 (3).

Proposition 5.1. *For $j \geq 1$, let $r_j : \mathbb{B}_h^1 \setminus \{[0]\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(j-1)p+1, \dots, jp-1\}$ be*

$$[i] \mapsto \begin{cases} jp - (h+1-i) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq h \\ (j-1)p + (h+1+i) & \text{if } -h \leq i \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

(1) *the map $\Theta_h^s : \mathbb{B}_h^s \longrightarrow S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1}$ for $1 \leq s \leq h$ defined by*

$$[i_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [i_s] \mapsto (r_j(i_{a-1}), \dots, r_j(i_1), r_j(i_s), \dots, r_j(i_{b+1}))$$

is well-defined. Here $1 \leq a \leq s+1$ and $0 \leq b \leq s$ are defined by $i_k > 0 \Leftrightarrow 1 \leq k < a$ and $i_k < 0 \Leftrightarrow b < k \leq s$ (that implies $i_k = 0 \Leftrightarrow a \leq k \leq b$).

(2) *for $i \in I_p \setminus \{h\}$ (see (13)), Θ_h^s satisfies*

$$C(\tilde{e}_{h-i}) \circ C'(\Theta_h^s) = C'(\Theta_h^s) \circ C(\tilde{e}_i),$$

$$C(\tilde{f}_{h-i}) \circ C'(\Theta_h^s) = C'(\Theta_h^s) \circ C(\tilde{f}_i).$$

(3) *for $s = h$, (2) also holds for $i = h$ and Θ_h^h is a bijection.*

Proof. We fix $u = [i_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [i_s] \in \mathbb{B}_h^s$ and take $a(= a(u)), b(= b(u))$ as in (1).

Recall (14). To prove (1), put $\lambda = \Theta_h^s(u)$ and assume there exist $1 \leq x < y \leq \ell(\lambda)$ such that $1 \leq d := y - x \leq h$ and $\lambda_x = (j-1)p + (h+d) = jp - (h+1-d)$, $\lambda_y = (j-1)p + (h+1-d)$ (that implies $a \neq 1$ and $b \neq s$). Take $1 \leq x' < a$ and $b < y' \leq s$ such that $r_j(i_{x'}) = \lambda_x$ and $r_j(i_{y'}) = \lambda_y$. The assumption implies $i_{y'} = d, i_{x'} = -d$ with $y' - x' \leq s - d$ that contradicts Definition 4.12 (2).

(2) for $i = 0$ follows easily from and Corollary 3.10 (2) and Proposition 4.13 (2).

To prove (2) for $i \in I_p \setminus \{0, h\}$, consider a subset U of $\mathbb{B}_h^s$ defined by:

- $u \in U$,
- for all $k \in I_p^\circ \setminus \{0, h\}$ and $u' \in U$, $C(\tilde{e}_k)u' \neq \mathbf{0}$ implies $\tilde{e}_k u' \in U$,
- for all $k \in I_p^\circ \setminus \{0, h\}$ and $u' \in U$, $C(\tilde{f}_k)u' \neq \mathbf{0}$ implies $\tilde{f}_k u' \in U$.

Note that U has an induced $(I_p^\circ \setminus \{0, h\})$ -precrystal structure from $\mathbb{B}_h^s$ and we have $a(u') = a, b(u') = b$ for $u' \in U$. Then, define a map

$$\Gamma : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_h^{+, a-1} \otimes \mathbb{B}_h^{-, s-b}$$

$$[i_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [i_s] \mapsto ([i_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [i_{a-1}]) \otimes ([i_{b+1}] \otimes \cdots \otimes [i_s])$$

where $\mathbb{B}_h^{\pm, c}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{B}_h^c$ consists of $[i_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [i_c]$ with $i_k \geq 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq c$. $\mathbb{B}_h^{\pm, c}$ has an induced $(I_p^\circ \setminus \{0, h\})$ -precrystal structure from $\mathbb{B}_h^c$ we promise $\mathbb{B}_h^{\pm, 0}$ is a unit precrystal (see §3.2). Γ is clearly a $(I_p^\circ \setminus \{0, h\})$ -precrystal morphism. Comparing Definition 3.7, Definition 3.8 and Corollary 3.10 (1) with Definition 4.10,

$$C(\tilde{e}_{h-z})(C'(r_j)[w]) = C'(r_j)(C(\tilde{e}_z)[w]),$$

$$C(\tilde{f}_{h-z})(C'(r_j)[w]) = C'(r_j)(C(\tilde{f}_z)[w])$$

holds for $z \in I_p^\circ \setminus \{0, h\}$ and $[w] \in \mathbb{B}_h^1 \setminus \{[h], [0], [-h]\}$. Thus,

$$\Theta_c^+ : \mathbb{B}_h^{+, c} \longrightarrow S_p^{jp-h, jp-1}, \quad [i_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [i_c] \mapsto (r_j(i_c), \dots, r_j(i_1)),$$

$$\Theta_c^- : \mathbb{B}_h^{-, c} \longrightarrow S_p^{(j-1)p+1, (j-1)p+h}, \quad [i_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [i_c] \mapsto (r_j(i_c), \dots, r_j(i_1))$$

satisfy the same formula in (2) replacing Θ_h^s with $\Theta_c^\pm$ for $i \in I_p^\circ \setminus \{0, h\}$. Because of $(\Theta_{a-1}^+ \otimes \Theta_{h-b}^-)(\Gamma(u)) = \Theta_h^s(u)$, (2) holds for $i \in I_p^\circ \setminus \{0, h\}$.

To prove (3), first we prove (2) for $i = h$ and $s = h$. Put $\lambda = \Theta_h^h(u)$ and divide 4 cases. In each case, (2) can be checked by the tensor product rule (see Remark 3.5) and Definition 3.7, Definition 3.8, Corollary 3.10 (1).

- The case $a \neq 1, i_{a-1} = h$ and $b \neq h, i_{b+1} = -h$, we have $C(\tilde{e}_h)u = \mathbf{0} = C(\tilde{f}_h)$. Since $(j-1)p+1, jp-1 \in \lambda$, we also have $C(\tilde{e}_0)\lambda = \mathbf{0} = C(\tilde{f}_0)\lambda$.
- The case $\text{Or}(a=1, \text{And}(a \neq 1, i_{a-1} \neq h))$ and $b \neq h, i_{b+1} = -h$, we have $C(\tilde{f}_h)u = \mathbf{0}$ and $\tilde{e}_h$ is applied as $\boxed{i_{b+1}} \mapsto \boxed{0}$. Since $(j-1)p+1 \in \lambda, jp-1 \notin \lambda$, we also have $C(\tilde{f}_0)\lambda = \mathbf{0}$ and $\tilde{e}_0\lambda = \lambda \setminus \{(j-1)p+1\}$.
- The case $a \neq 1, i_{a-1} = h$ and $\text{Or}(b=h, \text{And}(b \neq h, i_{b+1} \neq -h))$, we have $C(\tilde{e}_h)u = \mathbf{0}$ and $\tilde{f}_h$ is applied as $\boxed{i_{a-1}} \mapsto \boxed{0}$. Since $(j-1)p+1 \notin \lambda, jp-1 \in \lambda$, we also have $C(\tilde{e}_0)\lambda = \mathbf{0}$ and $\tilde{f}_0\lambda = \lambda \sqcup \{jp-1\}$.
- The case $\text{Or}(a=1, \text{And}(a \neq 1, i_{a-1} \neq h))$ and $\text{Or}(b=h, \text{And}(b \neq h, i_{b+1} \neq -h))$, $\tilde{e}_h$ (resp. $\tilde{f}_h$) is applied as $\boxed{i_a} \mapsto \boxed{h}$ (resp. $\boxed{i_b} \mapsto \boxed{-h}$) if $a \leq b$ (i.e., if $\boxed{0}$ appears in u). Since $(j-1)p+1 \notin \lambda, jp-1 \notin \lambda$, we also have $\tilde{e}_0\lambda = \lambda \sqcup \{jp-1\}$ and $\tilde{f}_0\lambda = \lambda \setminus \{(j-1)p+1\}$. Thus, we need to show $a \leq b$. Assume contrary, there exist $1 \leq d < h$ and $1 \leq k < \ell \leq h$ such that $i_k = d, i_\ell = -d$ because each $\boxed{i_j}$ in u is one of $2(h-1)$ elements $\boxed{\pm 1}, \dots, \boxed{\pm(h-1)}$. Take the largest d and we see $\ell - k \leq h - d$ because $d < |i_{k+1}|, \dots, |i_{\ell-1}| < h$. It contradicts $u \in \mathbb{B}_h^h$ (see Definition 4.12 (2)).

Finally, to finish proving (3) it suffices to show a map $S_p^{(j-1)p+1, jp-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_h^h$

$$\lambda \longmapsto r^{-1}(\lambda_w) \otimes \dots \otimes r^{-1}(\lambda_1) \otimes \boxed{0}^{\otimes(h-\ell)} \otimes r_j^{-1}(\lambda_\ell) \otimes \dots \otimes r^{-1}(\lambda_{w+1})$$

is well-defined where $0 \leq w \leq \ell$ is define by $jp-h \leq \lambda_i \leq jp-1 \Leftrightarrow 1 \leq i \leq w$. The argument is similar to (1) using Proposition 2.10 (1). $\square$

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a GCM and let $\lambda \in P^+$. Define

$$B(\lambda)_n = \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} C(\tilde{f}_i) B(\lambda)_{n-1} \right) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \text{ for } n \geq 1 \text{ and } B(\lambda)_0 = \{u\lambda + qL(\lambda)\}.$$

By Theorem 1.10, we have $|S_p(n)| = |B(\Lambda_0)_n|$ where $A = A_{p-1}^{(2)}$. There are several ways to proceed to finish the proof and we list just 2 arguments.

- (1) By Definition 4.3, we need to show that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} V^q(\Lambda_0)_n = |D_p(n)|$ where $V^q(\Lambda_0)_0 = \mathbb{Q}(q)u_{\Lambda_0}$ and $V^q(\Lambda_0)_n = \sum_{i \in I} f_i V^q(\Lambda_0)_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$. Thanks to [Lus], $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} V^q(\Lambda_0)_n = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} V(\Lambda_0)_n$ where $V(\Lambda_0)$ is the integrable highest weight representation of highest weight Λ_0 of the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A_{p-1}^{(2)})$. In virtue of vertex operator construction in a principal picture, $\sum_{n \geq 0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} V(\Lambda_0)_n t^n = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \setminus (2\mathbb{Z} \cup p\mathbb{Z})} \frac{1}{1-t^k}$ is known [KKLW, Proposition 2.1]. Thanks to $D_p \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \text{CReg}_2 \cap \text{CReg}_p$, we get the result.
- (2) Putting $s = 1$ in Corollary 4.18 gives $B(\Lambda_0) \cong \text{RP}_p$ (see §1.4) as $A_{p-1}^{(2)}$ -crystals. Since $\tilde{f}_i$ on RP_p adds one box if defined (see [BK1, §9-a]), we have $|\text{RP}_p(n)| = |B(\Lambda_0)_n|$. Thus, we shall show $\text{RP}_p \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} D_p$, but it is known (e.g., put $A = \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ and $N = p$ to [Be1, Theorem 2.1]).

5.3. A concluding definition. For a size and length preserving injection $\beta : S_p^{p-h, p+h} \hookrightarrow \text{Par}_{\text{str}}^{p-h, p+h}$ with $\text{Image}(\beta|_{S_p^{p+1, p+h}}) \subseteq \text{Par}_{\text{str}}^{p+1, p+h}$, we define

$$\text{Schur}_{p, \beta} := \text{Image}(\Upsilon_\beta := (\cdots \times \beta^{(2)} \times \beta^{(1)} \times \beta^{(0)}) \circ \text{res}_p : S_p \hookrightarrow \text{Par}_{\text{str}})$$

where $\beta^{(j)} := \text{shift}_p^{(j-1)} \circ \beta \circ \text{shift}_p^{-(j-1)} : S_p^{jp-h, jp+h} \hookrightarrow \text{Par}_{\text{str}}^{jp-h, jp+h}$ for $j \geq 0$.

$\text{Schur}_{p, \beta}$ has the properties (E1), (E2) in §1.5. In fact, concerning Lemma 2.9, we can take $Z_{p, \beta}$ so that $\bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \text{shift}_p^k(Z_{p, \beta})$ coincides with all the p -step shifts of

$$(\text{Par}_{\text{str}}^{p-h, 2p+h} \setminus \text{Image}(\beta^{(2)} \times \beta^{(1)})) \bigcup (\text{Par}_{\text{str}}^{p-h, p+h} \setminus \text{Image} \beta^{(1)}).$$

We propose a canonical $\beta_p : S_p^{p-h, p+h} \hookrightarrow \text{Par}_{\text{str}}^{p-h, p+h}$.

Definition 5.2. For $\lambda \in S_p^{p-h, p+h}$, $\beta_p(\lambda)$ is defined as follows:

- (1) Let $z = m_p(\lambda)$ and put $\mu = (p+z-1, p+z-3, \dots, p-(z-3), p-(z-1))$.
- (2) Apply the following “insertion” procedure to μ in the order of $i = 1, \dots, h$:
 - If $p+i \notin \lambda$ and $p-i \notin \lambda$, then do nothing,
 - If $p+i \in \lambda$ and $p-i \notin \lambda$, then replace every occurrence of $p \pm j$ in μ where $j \geq i$ with $p \pm (j+1)$. After that, add $p+i$ to μ ,
 - If $p+i \notin \lambda$ and $p-i \in \lambda$, then replace every occurrence of $p \pm j$ in μ where $j \geq i$ with $p \pm (j+1)$. After that, add $p-i$ to μ ,
 - If $p+i \in \lambda$ and $p-i \in \lambda$. Then, it is not difficult to see that $m_{p \pm i}(\mu) = m_{p \mp i}(\mu) (= m)$. Replace every occurrence of $p \pm j$ in μ where $j \geq i$ with $p \pm (j+2)$. After that, add $p+(m+i)$ and $p-(m+i)$ to μ .
- (3) Define $\beta_p(\lambda) := \mu$.

It is not difficult to see that β_p is in fact an injection. The detail of $\text{Schur}_p := \text{Schur}_{p, \beta_p}$ motivated as in §1.3 will be studied elsewhere.

Example 5.3. $\beta_p(\lambda) = \lambda$ when $m_p(\lambda) \leq 1$. As shown below, $\text{Schur}_{p, \beta_p}$ for $p = 3, 5$ is nothing but $\text{Schur}_3, \text{Schur}_5$ respectively (see Theorem 1.7, Theorem 1.8).

- For $p = 3$, $\beta_3 = \text{id}_{S_3^{2,4} = \text{Par}_{\text{str}}^{2,4}}$.
- For $p = 5$, $\beta_5(\lambda) = \lambda$ for $\lambda \in S_5^{3,7}$ with $m_5(\lambda) \leq 1$ and $\beta_5((5^2)) = (6, 4)$ where $\nu = (a^b)$ means $\nu \in \text{Par}$ by $m_i(\nu) = \delta_{i,ab}$. Notice $\Upsilon_{\beta_5} = \Omega_5$ in §1.3.
- For $p = 7$, non-trivials are $\beta_7((7^2)) = (8, 6)$, $\beta_7((7^3)) = (9, 7, 5)$, $\beta_7((7^2) \sqcup \{a\}) = (9, 5) \sqcup \{a\}$, $\beta_7((7^2) \sqcup \{b\}) = (8, 6) \sqcup \{b\}$ where $a = 8, 6$, $b = 10, 9, 5, 4$.

Put another way, Schur_7 is a set of $\lambda \in \text{Par}_{\text{str}}$ with (F1) and (F2):

(F1) $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+3} \geq 7$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda) - 3$ and $\geq$ is $>$ when (F1a) and (F1b):

(F1a) there exist $0 \leq j \leq 2$ such that $\sum_{t=0}^j \lambda_{i+t} \in 7\mathbb{Z}$ or $\sum_{t=3-j}^3 \lambda_{i+t} \in 7\mathbb{Z}$,

(F1b) $(\lambda_i \% 7, \lambda_{i+1} \% 7, \lambda_{i+2} \% 7) \notin \{(1, 4, 2), (2, 1, 4), (3, 6, 5), (4, 2, 1), (5, 3, 6), (6, 5, 3)\}$ where $a \% b$ is the unique integer $0 \leq c < b$ such that $a - c \in b\mathbb{Z}$.

(F2) λ does not contain any of the following 39 subpatterns and 7-step shifts:

$(4, 3), (5, 3, 2), (5, 4, 2), (9, 6, 5, 1), (9, 7, 5, 1), (13, 9, 7, 5), (14, 9, 7, 5), (13, 9, 8, 5), (14, 9, 8, 5),$
 $(14, *_1, 8, 6), (15, 13, 8, 6), (15, 13, *_2, 7), (14, 13, 9, 6, 5), (15, 13, 9, 6, 5), (16, 13, 12, 7),$
 $(16, 14, 12, 7), (15, 14, 9, 6, 5), (15, 14, *_3, 7, 6), (16, 15, 12, 7, 6), (16, 15, 12, 8, 6),$
 $(16, 15, 12, 8, 7), (16, 14, 13, 7, 6, 5), (16, 15, 13, 7, 6, 5), (16, 15, 14, 7, 6, 5), (16, 15, 14, 8, 6, 5)$
 $(16, 15, 14, 8, 7, 5)$ where $9 \leq *_1 \leq 13$, $8 \leq *_2 \leq 12$, $8 \leq *_3 \leq 13$.

Acknowledgments. S.T. thanks Masato Okado for help understanding perfect crystals better. S.T./M.W. was supported in part by JSPS Kakenhi 26800005/15J05373.

REFERENCES

- [ABO] G.E. Andrews, C. Bessenrodt and J.B. Olsson, *Partition identities and labels for some modular characters*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. **344** (1994), 597–615.
- [An1] G.E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1976.
- [An2] G.E. Andrews, *q-series: their development and application in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics, and computer algebra*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 66. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [An3] G.E. Andrews, *A general theory of identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type*, Bull.Amer.Math.Soc. **80** (1974), 1033–1052.
- [An4] G.E. Andrews, *On the general Rogers-Ramanujan theorem*, Memiors of the American Mathematical Society, **152**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1974.
- [ASW] G.E. Andrews, A. Schilling and S.O. Warnaar, *An A_2 Bailey lemma and Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities*, J.Amer.Math.Soc. **12** (1999), 677–702.
- [Be1] C. Bessenrodt, *A combinatorial proof of a refinement of the Andrews-Olsson partition identity*, European J.Combin. **12** (1991), 271–276.
- [Be2] C. Bessenrodt, *Representations of the covering groups of the symmetric groups and their combinatorics*, Sem.Lohtar.Combin. 33 (1994) (electronic).
- [BK1] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Hecke-Clifford superalgebras, crystals of type $A_{2\ell}^{(2)}$ and modular branching rules for $\widehat{S}_n$* , Represent.Theory **5** (2001), 317–403.
- [BK2] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Projective representations of symmetric groups via Sergeev duality*, Math.Z. **239** (2002) 27–68.
- [BK3] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *James’ regularization theorem for double covers of symmetric groups*, J.Algebra **306** (2006) 128–137.
- [BMO] C. Bessenrodt, A.O. Morris and J.B. Olsson, *Decomposition matrices for spin characters of symmetric groups at characteristic 3*, J.Algebra **164** (1994), 146–172.
- [Bre] D.M. Bressoud, *A combinatorial proof of Schur’s 1926 partition theorem*, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. **79** (1980), 338–340.
- [FOS] G. Fourier, M. Okado and A. Schilling, *Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals for nonexceptional types*, Adv.Math. **222** (2009), 1080–1116.
- [GKW] M.J. Griffin, K. Ono and S.O. Warnaar, *A framework of Rogers-Ramanujan identities and their arithmetic properties*, Duke Math.J. **165** (2016), 1475–1527.
- [Gro] I. Grojnowski, *Affine $\widehat{sl}_p$ controls the modular representation theory of the symmetric group and related Hecke algebras*, **math.RT/9907129**.
- [GV] I. Grojnowski and M. Vazirani, *Strong multiplicity one theorems for affine Hecke algebras of type A*, Transform. Groups **6** (2001), 143–155.
- [Har] G.H. Hardy, *Ramanujan*, Cambridge University Press, 1940.
- [HKOTY] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi and Y. Yamada, *Remarks on fermionic formula*, Contemp. Math., **248** (1999), 243–291.
- [HKOTT] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi and Z. Tsuboi, *Paths, crystals and fermionic formulae*, in “MathPhys Odyssey 2001-Integrable Models and Beyond In Honor of Barry M.McCoy”, edited by M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Birkhäuser (2002) 205–272.
- [Isa] M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1976.
- [Jam] G.D. James, *The irreducible representations of the symmetric groups*, Bull.London Math.Soc. **8** (1976), 229–232.
- [JMO] N. Jing, K.C. Misra and M. Okado, *q-wedge modules for quantized enveloping algebras of classical type*, J.Algebra **230** (2000), 518–539.
- [Kac] V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [Ka1] M. Kashiwara, *Bases cristallines des groupes quantiques*, Cours Spéc., vol. 9, Soc. Math. France, 2002.
- [Ka2] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the Q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math.J. **63** (1991), 465–516.
- [KKLW] V. Kac, D. Kazhdan, J. Lepowsky and R. Wilson, *Realization of the basic representations of the Euclidean Lie algebras*, Adv.in Math. **42** (1981), 83–112.
- [KKT] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara and S. Tsuchioka, *Quiver Hecke superalgebras*, J.Reine.Angew.Math. **711** (2016), 1–54.

- [Kle] A. Kleshchev, *Linear and Projective Representations of Symmetric Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [KM_N²₁] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. Misra, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima and A. Nakayashiki, *Affine crystals and vertex models*, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A **7**, Suppl. 1A (1992), 449–484.
- [KM_N²₂] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. Misra, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima and A. Nakayashiki, *Perfect crystals of quantum affine Lie algebras*, Duke Math. J. **68** (1992), 499–607.
- [KMPY] M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, J.-U.H. Petersen and C.M. Yung, *Perfect crystals and q -deformed Fock spaces*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **2** (1996), 415–499.
- [KN] M. Kashiwara and T. Nakashima, *Crystal graphs for representations of the q -analogue of classical Lie algebras*, J.Algebra **165** (1994), 295–345.
- [KNO] M. Kashiwara, T. Nakashima and M. Okado, *Affine geometric crystals and limit of perfect crystals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 3645–3686.
- [KOR] B. Külshammer, J. Olsson and G. Robinson, *Generalized blocks for symmetric groups*, Invent.Math. **151** (2003), 513–552.
- [KS] M. Kashiwara and Y. Saito, *Geometric construction of crystal bases*, Duke Math. J. **89** (1997), 9–36.
- [Lei] T. Leinster, *Basic category theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 143. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [LT] B. Leclerc and J.-Y. Thibon, *q -deformed Fock spaces and modular representations of spin symmetric groups*, J.Phys.A **30** (1997), 6163–6176.
- [Lus] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Reprint of the 1994 edition. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2010.
- [LW] J. Lepowsky and R.L. Wilson, *The structure of standard modules. I. Universal algebras and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, Invent.Math. **77** (1984), 199–290.
- [MM] K. Misra and T. Miwa, *Crystal base for the basic representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(n))$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), 79–88.
- [Oh] S. Oh, *Young Walls of Type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ and Strict Partitions*, arXiv:1105.2380
- [Ols] J.B. Olsson, *Regular character tables of symmetric groups*, Electron.J.Combin. **10** (2003), Note 3, 5 pp. (electronic).
- [OS] M. Okado and A. Schilling, *Existence of Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals for nonexceptional types*, Represent.Theory **12** (2008), 186–207.
- [OSS] M. Okado, A. Schilling and M. Shimozono, *A tensor product theorem related to perfect crystals*, J.Algebra **267** (2003), 212–245.
- [OV] A. Okounkov and A. Vershik, *A new approach to representation theory of symmetric groups*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **2** (1996), 581–605.
- [Rog] L.J. Rogers, *Second Memoir on the Expansion of certain Infinite Products*, Proc.London Math.Soc. S1-25 (1894), 318–343.
- [Sch1] I. Schur, *Zur additiven Zahlentheorie*, S.-B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys.-Math.Kl, 1926, 488–495 (Reprinted in I. Schur, *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, vol.3, Springer, Berlin, 1973, 43–50).
- [Sch2] I. Schur, *Über die Darstellung der symmetrischen and der alternierenden Gruppe durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **139** (1911), 155–250.
- [Ste] J. Stembridge, *Shifted tableaux and the projective representations of symmetric groups*, Adv. Math. **74** (1989), 87–134.
- [Tsu] S. Tsuchioka, *Hecke-Clifford superalgebras and crystals of type $D_\ell^{(2)}$* , Publ.Res.Inst.Math.Sci. **46** (2010), 423–471.
- [TW] S. Tsuchioka and M. Watanabe, *pattern avoidance seen in multiplicities of maximal weights of affine Lie algebra representations*, arXiv:1509.01070

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, MEGURO, TOKYO, 153-8914, JAPAN

E-mail address: tshun@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp, mwata@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp